

WEBVTT

1

00:00:09.599 --> 00:00:10.200

Sownak Bose: Can you see this

2

00:00:10.590 --> 00:00:10.920

Yep.

3

00:00:11.940 --> 00:00:17.640

Sownak Bose: Okay, great. So I'll just spend a couple of minutes. Tell me about a paper that

4

00:00:18.660 --> 00:00:27.930

Sownak Bose: I worked on with AFI that was submitted pretty recently and what it's to do with is looking at the velocity dispersion profiles of

5

00:00:28.680 --> 00:00:38.070

Sownak Bose: Dark matter and stars as measured in hydrogen chemical simulations, specifically the illustrious T AMP G simulation and I was interested to see

6

00:00:38.640 --> 00:00:48.930

Sownak Bose: What aspects of the host doc master Halo, one can actually learn from looking at the motions of these styles, at least in the context of these a numerical simulations.

7

00:00:50.130 --> 00:00:57.510

Sownak Bose: So in particular, we were interested in measuring these velocity dispersion profiles and each of these panels that kind of showing

8

00:00:57.870 --> 00:01:10.770

Sownak Bose: The three dimensional velocity dispersion of the dark matter and black and the stars in red as a function of radius. And each of these panels corresponds to a halo for different mass range.

9

00:01:11.490 --> 00:01:22.560

Sownak Bose: And what you notice is that this is pretty sort of generic ish shape where profile sort of increases as a functional radius, which is a maximum and then falls back down.

10

00:01:23.100 --> 00:01:33.930

Sownak Bose: And in particular, the sort of exterior parts of this profile is punctuated by a kink in the velocity dispersion, which typically occurs at somewhere around

11

00:01:34.530 --> 00:01:52.830

Sownak Bose: Our 200 mean, which is the radius that encloses a mean density that is 200 times the background density of the Universe and this radius this kink shape is often sometimes called the splashback radius of the halo, which essentially kind of the markets where the

12

00:01:54.330 --> 00:02:03.480

Sownak Bose: Master content of the stellar content of the halo actually is and separate objects that belongs to the halo from the sort of overall Cosmic Background

13

00:02:04.140 --> 00:02:13.140

Sownak Bose: And so it's typically shown in the guise of the density profile of the dark matter. But it's interesting to see that even in terms of the velocity dispersion. So you can actually see these features.

14

00:02:13.590 --> 00:02:24.600

Sownak Bose: Both in the dark matter and in the stars and these thin red curves actually show the diversity of profiles that you see around the stock profile, the mean profiles are shown in solid lines.

15

00:02:25.350 --> 00:02:33.150

Sownak Bose: And you see that there's quite a lot of diversity and a lot of it is actually down to the differences in the assembly history of the halo that fixed mass

16

00:02:34.230 --> 00:02:40.500

Sownak Bose: So one way to see this is by just taking objects of a certain mastering. This is low mass groups.

17

00:02:41.490 --> 00:02:48.300

Sownak Bose: And here, and I'm showing the line of sight philosophy dispersion as function of the projected distance from the halo

18

00:02:49.260 --> 00:03:05.220

Sownak Bose: And in this particular mass range, you can split objects that are the most different based on the late time formation history which is shown in the bottom row and the most different halos at fixed mass based on the early formation. History

19

00:03:06.540 --> 00:03:13.440

Sownak Bose: And you see that the corresponding scatter and the velocity dispersion actually picks out to different radial regimes in the velocity dispersion

20

00:03:14.040 --> 00:03:20.910

Sownak Bose: Where objects that are most different based on the early formation history show a larger scatter in the inner portion of their profile.

21

00:03:21.720 --> 00:03:32.820

Sownak Bose: Typically within about 10% of the radius, whereas objects that differ most in terms of the late time history, but at the same final day mass show more differences in the exterior part of the profile.

22

00:03:33.600 --> 00:03:47.190

Sownak Bose: And this is probably not unexpected because you would think that, for example, if the lifetime assembly history is determined by late time mergers is for mostly add material and bring things out of dynamical equilibrium on the exterior part of the profile.

23

00:03:48.510 --> 00:03:56.700

Sownak Bose: So this basically suggested that you might actually be able to learn something to do with the assembly history of the halo by measuring these velocity dispersion profiles.

24

00:03:57.300 --> 00:04:03.930

Sownak Bose: And in particular for a dogmatic Halo a parameter that is often used to quantify the assembly history is something called the halo concentration

25

00:04:04.950 --> 00:04:15.180

Sownak Bose: Which for dark matter profiles that are defined by the so called and FW profile is just a measure of how centrally dense, the core of the dark matter Halo is

26

00:04:15.900 --> 00:04:24.480

Sownak Bose: And the larger the concentration. The earlier the halo forms, because it's a reflection of the typical epoch, when the dark matter halos actually collapse.

27

00:04:25.710 --> 00:04:27.690

Sownak Bose: And so, to cut a long story short,

28

00:04:28.830 --> 00:04:32.730

Sownak Bose: We do a variety of experiments and we find that in fact you can express

29

00:04:33.540 --> 00:04:41.010

Sownak Bose: The philosophy dispersion profile of the stellar content and the stars with this equation here this is equation three.

30

00:04:41.460 --> 00:04:57.390

Sownak Bose: And the way we actually get to this equation is discussed in the preceding sections. And what's interesting to note is that the only free parameters in this equation turn out to be the halo concentration which is this see 200 value and the mass of the halo itself.

31

00:04:58.620 --> 00:05:06.480

Sownak Bose: And so what that means is that if you had a data set where you've measured the line of sight philosophies versions and you sort of normalize it by this

32

00:05:07.500 --> 00:05:21.090

Sownak Bose: The maximum value is we've written it here, you can actually try and fit for these two parameters and infer something about the mass of the halo and the concentration or not words the assembly history of the halo parameters in a very simple way.

33

00:05:22.230 --> 00:05:29.220

Sownak Bose: And you can see, well, we know what the mass and concentration relationship is for the hot halos and a cold or hot cosmology.

34

00:05:29.760 --> 00:05:37.110

Sownak Bose: And you can basically analytically predict what this profile should look like and see how well that actually fits the T AMP T data.

35

00:05:37.650 --> 00:05:46.650

Sownak Bose: And that's sort of shown in this figure here where I show the velocity dispersion profiles across a range of Halo masters in the different colors.

36

00:05:47.190 --> 00:05:55.080

Sownak Bose: And the stellar philosophy aspersions are shown with the stars and the sort of solid lines for the corresponding color.

37

00:05:55.740 --> 00:06:05.520

Sownak Bose: The predictions of this particular model shown, which was described in equation three. And you can see, to a very good to know if it's very good, but to some extent.

38

00:06:06.060 --> 00:06:15.390

Sownak Bose: You find that there's a pretty good level of agreement between the measurements from the simulations themselves and the prediction that comes out from

39

00:06:16.020 --> 00:06:27.180

Sownak Bose: This mass and concentration based and description of the line of sight loss discussions and you can actually then used to use those profiles to kind of estimate where the boundary of a halo is

40

00:06:27.990 --> 00:06:36.810

Sownak Bose: Simply by just extrapolating this profile and there's a particular criteria, you can use to determine where the boundary is and compare that with the true results.

41

00:06:37.860 --> 00:06:41.100

Sownak Bose: As and in, in general, we find that you

42

00:06:43.050 --> 00:06:43.890

Ana Bonaca: Supposed

43

00:06:43.920 --> 00:06:46.410

Ana Bonaca: To fight another whole meal. Sorry.

44

00:06:46.800 --> 00:06:47.370

Sownak Bose: No, sorry, this

45

00:06:48.030 --> 00:06:48.480

Ana Bonaca: Is again.

46

00:06:48.570 --> 00:06:49.410

Sownak Bose: That's the end. So

47

00:06:50.310 --> 00:06:56.310

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, we do. Sorry, we need to move on. But this is like super exciting. And yeah, for I think

48

00:06:57.540 --> 00:07:07.170

Ana Bonaca: For the discussion we can have with within the CFA in terms of a tracer so today we need to move on to our guest speakers so

49

00:07:07.680 --> 00:07:08.670

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Thank you. So neck.

50

00:07:10.890 --> 00:07:14.370

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: So I wanted to start by thanking both of our speakers.

51

00:07:14.370 --> 00:07:17.250

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: For being here and and all everyone who's

52

00:07:17.250 --> 00:07:24.690

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: here listening for being here admits the sort of layered stresses of this moment.

53

00:07:26.220 --> 00:07:34.890

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And we're really grateful for your commitment and we're grateful for your ability to sort of step above them and be here. So,

54

00:07:37.800 --> 00:07:56.610

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Our first speaker today is Daniela bordellos goofy and she is a callback Cal accomplish research fellow at the American Museum of Natural History Before that she did her PhD in San Diego and her undergrad degree at MIT.

55

00:07:58.290 --> 00:08:03.600

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And Daniela is an expert on all things tiny stars.

56

00:08:04.950 --> 00:08:09.870

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And so we're going to hear today about brown dwarfs and

57

00:08:11.850 --> 00:08:13.980

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: I know that you take it away. Thank you.

58

00:08:15.090 --> 00:08:22.170

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Thank you so much for that. Really nice introduction. Let's see if I can do this correctly.

59

00:08:24.660 --> 00:08:26.130

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: All right. Can you see my screen.

60

00:08:29.310 --> 00:08:45.030

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Cool. All right. Again, thank you so much for for inviting me and thank you everybody for coming today we're going to talk about stars and planets and I hold me talking for quite some interest in destruction from the dumpster fire that is this planet, right.

61

00:08:46.260 --> 00:08:57.600

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So again, I work at the Museum of Natural History, I, I can go see dinosaurs on the first floor. I'm not there right now, unfortunately, and so I thought this would be a nice introduction.

62

00:08:58.740 --> 00:09:09.990

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Because what I want to talk about is how the system architectures of brown dwarf and giant brown dwarf systems star systems and giant planets in obviously systems.

63

00:09:10.500 --> 00:09:21.570

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: All of those are essentially fossils like snapshots or past that we don't really have access to. But we see what products are the birds. So I'm in the same way I think.

64

00:09:22.560 --> 00:09:29.040

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The same way that was the birds today and we know that there were dinosaurs and we have fun dinosaur fossils. I think that

65

00:09:30.180 --> 00:09:35.760

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The, the processes that led to the formation of stars and planets that we see today.

66

00:09:37.260 --> 00:09:52.590

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: are reflected in the configuration of the systems. So brother formation is very likely an extension of star formation, whereas planet formation is fundamentally different, even though

67

00:09:54.120 --> 00:10:00.870

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Even though we're under some time planets there atmospheres and positively and interiors are governed by the same kind of physics.

68

00:10:01.620 --> 00:10:10.140

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: In practice we actually use brown dwarfs as analog so giant planet is to compare their atmospheres and their physical properties because render of data so much nicer so much

69

00:10:10.590 --> 00:10:18.690

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Much better signal to notice them planet data from girls are usually found in isolation, therefore don't have a very bright star nearby to

70

00:10:19.950 --> 00:10:28.440

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: To, you know, to the Ludo the all the data you can get from a blended and in a way, a brown horse art. We call it

71

00:10:28.920 --> 00:10:47.130

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So yes, within the store for similar way. And these two guys share parameters space in terms of effective temperature love G. Sorry. Surface gravity and there are fears. So in many ways from doors are true hybrids of stars and giant planets.

72

00:10:48.750 --> 00:10:55.500

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And the biggest differences or or other to characterize the subjects, a little more stars are

73

00:10:56.100 --> 00:11:13.620

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: held together by thermal pressure and the comforter arts, the gravity that tries to collapse them in words and this thermal pressure is product of the fact that stars can fuse hydrogen, but it's obviously the more the key element to their

74

00:11:14.940 --> 00:11:22.530

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: To how they work. And since they release protons through this fusion, they can reach out to assuaging equilibrium

75

00:11:24.720 --> 00:11:37.440

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And if we, there is a minimum us at a Jupiter masses down to which you don't have the conditions in your core anymore in order to sustain hydrogen fusion.

76

00:11:37.950 --> 00:11:53.220

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So that's where we find brown doors and brown doors are massive enough to fuse deuterium, but not hydrogen and deuterium is not that common. There's about 10 to the minus five. The abundance of the terms about 10 to

77

00:11:54.210 --> 00:12:04.890

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: 10 to the minus five times the abundance of hydrogen. So brown dwarfs the more massive ones actually fewer deteriorate pretty quickly. And instead, since they run out of

78

00:12:05.430 --> 00:12:10.470

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: An internal energy generated mechanism. There's a border by electron degeneracy pressure

79

00:12:10.890 --> 00:12:20.130

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And they made like the light and the heat that they meet or or just left over from their initial collapse during the formation. So they cool down over time. And this is key.

80

00:12:20.760 --> 00:12:25.050

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: This is what makes them so hard to characterize become a brown dwarf.

81

00:12:25.620 --> 00:12:36.720

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Planets, then the nominal distinction is that 13 Jupiter masses, which is the memory mass and which you can no longer burn deuterium, but they're not the different actually.

82

00:12:37.650 --> 00:12:48.720

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I want to throw also held together by electronic dance fresher and the muscle muscle javelins um so it seems like a better way to classify these objects would be by formation.

83

00:12:49.890 --> 00:12:54.690

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The classical picture of formation is that stars.

84

00:12:55.860 --> 00:13:10.440

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And brown dwarfs form from the gravitational collapse of a molecular cloud clouds need a little help with local turbulence, because that leads to high density sooner to reach low critical masses to fragment as a low mass object.

85

00:13:12.120 --> 00:13:25.350

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: But they are supposed to be an extension of the same process and the minimum mass for this process would be defined way to pass it to limit that as the smallest mass, where a column is opaque to with some radiation and therefore becomes a column.

86

00:13:26.760 --> 00:13:37.830

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And simulations places limited around one to five Jupiter masses so very low, which also means that this heart cut at 13 Jupiter masses is not physically motivated

87

00:13:38.910 --> 00:13:49.020

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I'm Jan and blend. It's on the other hand, our thoughts from my core accretion in a disk necessarily in a bottom up. Sorry that came to us in a bottom up.

88

00:13:50.850 --> 00:13:52.290

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: In a bottom up process.

89

00:13:53.970 --> 00:14:08.460

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And cognition happens by the coagulation of solids and proto planet artists and until we were just muscle tendon earth masses, at which point it has no gravity to go around the disk and collect gas in her to have an atmosphere.

90

00:14:10.110 --> 00:14:29.850

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And there are some studies that suggest that possibly corporation can make planets as massive as five Jupiter masses. And then there's this middle, middle no man's land process of disk fragmentation that can make both in principle brown dwarfs and planets.

91

00:14:31.500 --> 00:14:42.900

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: You need to start with a very massive disk. And because it's so massive and it's rotating it becomes unstable and the unstable fragments kind of become self gravitated

92

00:14:42.900 --> 00:14:52.950

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Objects disk mass scales with a host star's so more massive stars will host more massive discs that can produce more most of objects in that

93

00:14:53.250 --> 00:15:01.920

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: In that order. And so these mechanisms lead to different objects that have different internal and trapeze at the beginning. Different term heats and different compositions

94

00:15:02.520 --> 00:15:13.260

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Because it follows from here that true planets that have formed in a disk my career creation to have a higher middle is City Thunder, thunder host star.

95

00:15:13.620 --> 00:15:19.170

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Right, because in those cases what we're seeing is an object that was formed from pre process material.

96

00:15:20.010 --> 00:15:31.950

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: At a time later than when the star was formed, where the volatiles of that this have left because this needs needs to cool down in order to create these small particles.

97

00:15:32.370 --> 00:15:41.130

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So, in principle, the core accretion process should should yield planets companions, whatever you want to call them.

98

00:15:41.940 --> 00:15:55.050

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Time it probably but are more metal rich down their stars. And then in the converse case binary systems should have that are formed at the same time from St. Cloud.

99

00:15:55.740 --> 00:16:12.090

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: They should have the exact same composition and there are some studies that right now are starting to test these this hypotheses. I'm for some lingo cell is now a 51 portfolio, but she was a grad student in our group would be the NYC.

100

00:16:13.560 --> 00:16:26.520

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: She's studied retrieval. She did atmospheric retrieval speaking to two components of a white binary system of brand wars and they both have consistency to our ratios indicating co evil formation.

101

00:16:27.210 --> 00:16:41.730

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And also killin welcome from UCSB she just published a really interesting paper where they managed to measure the CTO ratio of a sub stellar companion cap on Terminal B which is

102

00:16:43.110 --> 00:16:59.220

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: 1213 Jupiter mass object to a massive star. We don't have, they don't have the middle of the of the star yet, but the solar slightly sub solar middle of the city of the companion indicates a rapid process, possibly, if this fragmentation.

103

00:17:01.080 --> 00:17:08.130

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: For brown dwarfs, in principle, we can also connect their population properties, and in particular diviner friction to a given information mechanism.

104

00:17:08.610 --> 00:17:22.170

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So here are some predictions from different different information scenarios if we jacked up reseller quarter was being formed. Don't give it enough time to let it form, then

105

00:17:23.250 --> 00:17:36.360

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Then we can end up with a brown dwarf. And that's predicted to be about an 8% final reflection from this fragmentation. We predicted what 16% from core fragmentation as a secondary fragmentation.

106

00:17:37.950 --> 00:17:48.900

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: It just is that it's low and finally from photo photo arbitration reseller course they don't. There's not really a number given but also we don't expect we don't find brown dwarfs always around

107

00:17:49.200 --> 00:17:59.700

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: massive stars such that the winds from the most of stars like push all the material out and leave a naked core the star essentially like a pro. To start living became a brown dwarf.

108

00:18:00.390 --> 00:18:11.640

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: We don't see that happen all the time. So we don't think that this is a the most likely or sorry, the most prominent way in which we can form brand wars.

109

00:18:12.600 --> 00:18:19.980

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: But this is a nice idea right we measure them multiplicative friction and they would can connect back to a formation mechanism and

110

00:18:20.550 --> 00:18:39.000

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: This is what the theory predicts also brown doors, the binary fraction of brown doors polos very nicely from that have more massive stars. This is another reason why we think that brown dwarf formation is low mass is the lowest version of the star formation process.

111

00:18:40.140 --> 00:18:44.670

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: But really it is much more complicated mushroom binary fractions is very difficult.

112

00:18:45.930 --> 00:18:51.840

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Because it depends on the technique that you're using in order to identify your binary system.

113

00:18:52.470 --> 00:19:05.880

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And it depends. Because each technique is going to be sensitive to different ranges and binary separation and the mass ratio and it depends also on the volume that you're on the completeness of your volume and how you chose your sample.

114

00:19:07.410 --> 00:19:20.070

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Each formation mechanism leaves distinct imprints on the distributions of orbital parameters in binary systems. So this is why using a specific technique is also important.

115

00:19:21.180 --> 00:19:33.450

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: But this also means that the population trends can be inferred from system architectures and. And here are a few examples of extreme systems that are

116

00:19:34.500 --> 00:19:40.020

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Sort of in the middle of some formation mechanisms or will there extreme for their own right. This is

117

00:19:40.500 --> 00:19:56.580

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Too much 1119 it's a binary system equal most objects. Both of them are for Jupiter masses each one of them and they're very closest separated. This is a, this is very consistent with something like core fragmentation actually and

118

00:19:57.840 --> 00:20:03.270

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And competitive accretion leads to a mass ratio close to one.

119

00:20:04.500 --> 00:20:15.900

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: It does. It is interesting, though, that both masters are so low that they may be very close and minimum as for opacity fragmentation Java seven is my favorite systems.

120

00:20:16.890 --> 00:20:32.400

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: It's a five Jupiter mass companion to a 33 Jupiter mass around brown and the mass ratio is very low is very unusual for brown dwarfs to be this low and it's fairly wide to think of this as a

121

00:20:32.940 --> 00:20:44.460

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: As a planet formation are going to some because of this because I mentioned the more most of the starter. Most of the disc. This is a very low mass star brown dwarf and

122

00:20:44.880 --> 00:20:53.430

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Is this should also be very much. So this is also a bit unclear how it formed happened. Remember, be that I mentioned earlier, has a very low mass ratio.

123

00:20:53.730 --> 00:21:02.400

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: This looks a lot more like a planet based on the loan must ratio, but it's a high muscle object as well. So he received possibly an evidence of

124

00:21:02.820 --> 00:21:20.580

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Disk fragmentation producing objects as big as massive as 12 Jupiter monsters HR at seven and nine is a system of four planets, possibly, and they are co planner, which definitely very strongly suggests that they were all formed in a disk.

125

00:21:22.680 --> 00:21:35.400

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And finally, oh 806 which is unknown and locked in the broader community. This is a white dwarf is one of the coldest types of brown dwarfs and its associated with a white dwarf.

126

00:21:36.870 --> 00:21:53.340

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: But, which is interesting because the white dwarf in a past life wasn't a star. And that means that the mass ratio on this farm must have been point oh four, which is unheard of for proper binary system this month. This is probably a planet, but we call it a white

127

00:21:54.780 --> 00:21:55.560

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: A whiteboard.

128

00:21:57.150 --> 00:22:05.160

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And another thing that's interesting about all the systems is that all of them except for the for the white dwarf system. They're all young

129

00:22:05.760 --> 00:22:18.570

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: This is how we're finding objects, they're bright and they're young and all of these are very different systems, but the positive looking for. I mean we have to fit them in one of these few boxes of which formation mechanism. They came from.

130

00:22:20.070 --> 00:22:27.690

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So we can connect the population of orbital parameters to information pathway says, Well, here's

131

00:22:29.430 --> 00:22:39.060

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: If we look at the systems as an ensemble here subplot from 2010 from killing crowd. Right. Oh, and this was following the discovery of the HR 8799 planets.

132

00:22:39.570 --> 00:22:48.540

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Which are shown in magenta these boxes, the x axis sorry is separation Y axis is mass ratio and these boxes were meant to indicate

133

00:22:49.260 --> 00:22:58.740

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: A lack of systems sort of showing these pink systems must be an extreme of the top population or an extreme of the bottom population sort of imply in light.

134

00:22:59.370 --> 00:23:10.890

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Of the top. These are brown bear systems. We know they formed by accretion fragmentation of the bottom we have planetary systems. We know they form a corporation. These things are in the mode and now

135

00:23:10.920 --> 00:23:12.120

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: With 2020

136

00:23:12.930 --> 00:23:14.370

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Thank you. I need to rush

137

00:23:16.170 --> 00:23:28.890

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Now we put in 2020, we have a lot more objects. These boxes are full. It's still unclear what the limit is and the green points are the only brown dwarf masses that we know very securely from dynamical masses.

138

00:23:30.330 --> 00:23:38.460

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And we think I'm possibly there could be a value between the two different populations. So we will know this until each section.

139

00:23:39.330 --> 00:23:49.500

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: As I mentioned, each the touch company sensitive to orange separation. Some we will know we need to map our selection functions very carefully, especially for young

140

00:23:50.820 --> 00:24:04.770

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Well, and, and both for separation and also for mass ratio. So in order to get better to quantify the population of binary systems in a better way. That is not dependent separation.

141

00:24:05.730 --> 00:24:16.860

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Here so technique where you can identify binary systems based on their blended light spectrum. The show's peculiarities, so if you focus on the 1.6

142

00:24:17.430 --> 00:24:36.210

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Micron inset in this in this plot, there's a little dip and the corresponds to methane absorption in the spectrum of a hotter object that shouldn't have my phone in their sphere. So this is how we're identifying systems that are over that are

143

00:24:37.980 --> 00:24:47.760

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: We're one object is above the hydra and Bernie limit and the other objects below the headroom. But in London and the added. Well, there's this separation dependent. So

144

00:24:49.290 --> 00:24:53.940

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I compiled a sample of all of these objects of all

145

00:24:55.020 --> 00:25:01.590

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: All high most brown dwarfs that were struggling to headroom Brennan limit started at him seven all the way to L five

146

00:25:02.610 --> 00:25:20.220

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The sort of that color points in the in the color mining to diagram and within 25 or six. So with the Malian limited sample. I can actually get robust statistics within this only limited sample. I counted how many of these spectral binaries were identified and

147

00:25:21.270 --> 00:25:37.950

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And I developed simulations of the population in order to extract the true binary fraction. So assuming that I know my bias is really well for the techniques that I'm using, I can recover the true binary fraction. So just browse through this really quick.

148

00:25:39.420 --> 00:25:53.640

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I can talk about this later. Different. These are the input distributions. I use different primary mass different IMS H distributions mass ratio distributions and evolutionary models in order to derive

149

00:25:54.930 --> 00:25:56.310

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Populations of

150

00:25:57.510 --> 00:26:00.750

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Of brand wars and um

151

00:26:02.160 --> 00:26:06.240

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And as you can see they're all different. I can, I can talk about this in detail later.

152

00:26:07.980 --> 00:26:13.020

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And also estimating the selection function of the spectral binary technique.

153

00:26:14.220 --> 00:26:16.020

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And based on that.

154

00:26:17.430 --> 00:26:21.870

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: We can I have a very, very preliminary results, suggesting that

155

00:26:23.100 --> 00:26:32.910

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The population that is most consistent with the observed population came from a log normal initial mass function and

156

00:26:33.420 --> 00:26:42.450

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Uniform H estimation. This is very preliminary. There's a lot of analysis to be done with this, but it's it's interesting to see that that is the direction in which is going

157

00:26:42.720 --> 00:26:47.700

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And the binary fractions should be somewhere around nine to 25% which we already knew.

158

00:26:48.300 --> 00:27:03.030

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: But at least with this technique we were understanding the limits of this we're understanding the selection function of this technique. Very well. And we have we have measure the right direction in a volume limited sample which makes our

159

00:27:04.890 --> 00:27:09.930

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Our statistics also makes them robust. So in summary,

160

00:27:11.400 --> 00:27:22.830

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The system architectures, the multiplicity statistics and compositions can constrain formation mechanisms for browsers and giant planets and we have measured minor infraction for

161

00:27:24.090 --> 00:27:26.220

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Works in a volume limited sample.

162

00:27:27.450 --> 00:27:38.280

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And finally, the preliminary analysis suggests a look normal IMF and a uniform H distribution. So, I am sorry I have to rush through it and I'm happy to take any questions.

163

00:27:49.260 --> 00:27:49.920

Ana Bonaca: At a time.

164

00:27:51.930 --> 00:27:52.560

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: When I heard the rain.

165

00:27:55.980 --> 00:27:57.360

Ana Bonaca: Okay, maybe then migrate.

166

00:27:58.920 --> 00:28:01.590

Ana Bonaca: Immediately, go back to like this.

167

00:28:02.340 --> 00:28:10.440

Ana Bonaca: interesting tidbits about them constraints you get on the on the IMF. So I guess my first question is,

168

00:28:11.220 --> 00:28:27.960

Ana Bonaca: How can you distinguish it sounds like you prefer a lock normal solution but thing is interesting complex of stars. It looks like that. The normal is like pretty similar to the broken parallel. So can you really distinguish between like Groupon and sharply a

169

00:28:29.370 --> 00:28:40.170

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Know quite that is a really good question. So I think that um when I did this analyses. Let me go back a few slides like on the

170

00:28:41.700 --> 00:28:43.170

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The info distributions.

171

00:28:44.310 --> 00:29:00.480

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Are use three different IMS the chair, very much. Let's woman or Malta Krupa MF that is there's a broken IMF and sorry to broken power loss and the CARE, PATRICK empirically derived. I met that is also a

172

00:29:02.010 --> 00:29:06.390

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Power loan, but with a slightly steeper. So it less steep.

173

00:29:07.560 --> 00:29:22.110

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Exponent and I did a so between these and the different ages regions and the different mass ratio is two regions and then the different evolutionary models. I ended up with 72 populations all

174

00:29:25.020 --> 00:29:34.200

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Binaries each. And, um, and from those I I found these the simulated populations.

175

00:29:34.680 --> 00:29:48.300

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And I compare the distribution of spectral dive primary spiritual types from the simulations to the surf primers virtual dose, because that's what I had for my sample. Um, I did model selection with the chaos test and

176

00:29:49.110 --> 00:30:01.440

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And found that the there were six sensor. So we're indistinguishable. And they were all logged normal IMF and an HP distribution that went from from zero to 10 giga years

177

00:30:01.710 --> 00:30:13.590

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Because I also tried on a distribution that was like from zero to seven giga years thinking that's live in a plane was things on younger, but, um, but that seemed to be the most consistent one

178

00:30:17.520 --> 00:30:18.630

Ana Bonaca: Grateful after

179

00:30:19.980 --> 00:30:20.940

Ana Bonaca: What I was getting

180

00:30:22.620 --> 00:30:23.250

Ana Bonaca: Next,

181

00:30:24.690 --> 00:30:25.500

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, it's

182

00:30:25.620 --> 00:30:26.490

Ana Bonaca: Like how much

183

00:30:26.790 --> 00:30:29.070

Ana Bonaca: Flexibility was there at the age models.

184

00:30:29.700 --> 00:30:30.570

Ana Bonaca: Are guessing.

185

00:30:30.930 --> 00:30:32.280

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: In another way of asking

186

00:30:32.340 --> 00:30:37.650

Ana Bonaca: Like how strong artists constraints of it is a uniform like age distribution.

187

00:30:41.430 --> 00:30:51.180

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Here to different yes so I can show my mouse but if you see on the top right corner that those are the different age distribution for us.

188

00:30:52.560 --> 00:31:02.070

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: There and you can see how the uniform 10 goes all the way to 10 years unit from setting those 270 armor distribution.

189

00:31:03.450 --> 00:31:18.360

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: assumes a star formation rate was higher in the past, and then the region apart and distribution assumes a higher

star formation rate but happened very far away in the past like a sea of a wretched one or two can remember

190

00:31:19.530 --> 00:31:24.900

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So you see the, the mean age of the mean of the ages age distributions.

191

00:31:26.190 --> 00:31:27.120

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Determine

192

00:31:30.960 --> 00:31:41.970

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Maybe I can show you this better. If you look at the top left, that's the primary spectral type distributions and they're very different because brown or school over time.

193

00:31:42.300 --> 00:31:49.080

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: When we when we assume a population and we've been will masses, and then we give them all ages and then let them evolve.

194

00:31:50.550 --> 00:32:02.250

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: This is a mixed population of very, very low mass stars that are not going to change their spectral type under temperature that much because they are stars, and then a big population of brown doors that will actually cool over time.

195

00:32:03.030 --> 00:32:14.070

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: So it's, there's a lot of detail analysis that can go into describing these distributions. Um, but, yes, to answer your question of

196

00:32:15.420 --> 00:32:33.420

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The age distribution how sure I am of the uniform 10 all I know at this point because analysis is still ongoing, is that, that is that is the one that I could reject with the with the chaos test. It is, but it's less predictable one

197

00:32:36.540 --> 00:32:39.990

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, this like this bigger shows like kind of the power of this

198

00:32:41.190 --> 00:32:52.650

Ana Bonaca: Of this space and kind of modeling the whole set of populations. And so I'll turn out to the questions from the audience. And I have a question about this.

199

00:32:53.610 --> 00:33:06.210

Ana Bonaca: Kind of population approach saying that some of the architectures must have been affected by gravitational interactions among objects or expulsion of some objects and migration of others.

200

00:33:06.900 --> 00:33:10.080

Ana Bonaca: So his question is how do your comfort is complication.

201

00:33:11.610 --> 00:33:21.570

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Haven't I yes i haven't accounted for that complication because I'm starting to the point I'm starting on the initial mass function point. So, if there were

202

00:33:24.900 --> 00:33:33.000

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Two parts of your on the sort of a one one part is that I haven't accounted for that because I am started up initial mass function point

203

00:33:34.080 --> 00:33:38.880

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Which already assumes that there were processes, changing the

204

00:33:39.450 --> 00:33:47.130

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: The masses of clumps into the final product that is self replicating. So I'm starting at that point. So, I have no knowledge of what happened before.

205

00:33:47.430 --> 00:34:01.170

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: You know if they were nearby objects are creating us though we're in your reserve or ended up with Lomas or someplace that that's that should be accounted for in the initial most function. And second, that system architecture Weiss.

206

00:34:03.060 --> 00:34:20.340

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I'm considering here objects because I'm trying to recover the turbine your freshman from the spectral binary technique. Then I have turned all of these binary systems that I created on this population into spectral binary the piece that was missing here when I explain this is that

207

00:34:21.510 --> 00:34:34.140

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I've made the primary and secondary I made I drew premier muscles from the IMF ages from this age distribution, a mass

ratio from the mass ratio distribution and then that's how I got the secondary messages.

208

00:34:35.400 --> 00:34:41.160

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Based on and then those two masses with the ages were able to two days.

209

00:34:42.120 --> 00:34:48.810

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: You know, depending on the age or go to a certain temperature and based on those temperatures that are translated to special types.

210

00:34:49.110 --> 00:35:03.840

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I am able to draw real spectra from a library and then add those two together scaling to think about parsecs pretend that it's a binary system, but it's unresolved, and then test it on my technique and then see if my Timmy can recover that

211

00:35:04.380 --> 00:35:20.370

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Binary the true specter library as such. And so, by, by doing that, what I'm, what I'm doing is this bar. I'm trying to understand what is the selection function of the binary technique of the spectrum binary technique.

212

00:35:21.930 --> 00:35:23.100

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Does that answer your question.

213

00:35:24.810 --> 00:35:27.360

Abraham Loeb: Yeah, I mean, as long as

214

00:35:28.560 --> 00:35:35.490

Abraham Loeb: What you're starting point incorporates the fact that the word expeditions and some migrations and so

215

00:35:36.300 --> 00:35:46.650

Abraham Loeb: I guess we don't know much about it, but then you can see if the mapping of your initial conditions to what we observe is matching the data that that's what you're telling me. So yes, thank you.

216

00:35:48.630 --> 00:35:50.100

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Okay, I think we

217

00:35:50.520 --> 00:35:52.890

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Started with a system architectures part

218

00:35:54.000 --> 00:35:58.020

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Because I'm using the spectral binary technique, it is independent of separation.

219

00:35:59.070 --> 00:36:12.570

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: But also because I'm using a volume limited sample for the observed population, then I am sensitive to binary separations out to a 12.5 a use

220

00:36:13.140 --> 00:36:31.110

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: For the systems because of the width of the slit that I use in order to observe the spectrum, and that is that is beyond the peak of the separations revision of brown dwarf binaries. So I should be taking most of the other systems that are possibly formed

221

00:36:33.510 --> 00:36:34.230

Thank you. Thank you.

222

00:36:35.400 --> 00:36:55.080

Ana Bonaca: Okay. And are you just set as a close up so Morgan asked about the implications for the formation mechanisms. So, since you're deriving that many of these distributions are continuous. What does this mean for the formation mechanisms overlapping face face.

223

00:36:56.370 --> 00:37:00.120

Ana Bonaca: And Morgan is like if you feel free to elaborate

224

00:37:02.160 --> 00:37:08.490

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Um, Morgan. Let me see if I understood your question. So are you asking about, um,

225

00:37:09.060 --> 00:37:26.430

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: I guess I'm interested in the idea that, like, it seems, because of the eventual combined distribution from all these processes seems to be kind of continuous. Does that mean that multiple processes can form objects with similar properties.

226

00:37:27.480 --> 00:37:28.350

Or how do we

227

00:37:30.840 --> 00:37:31.470

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Interesting.

228

00:37:31.770 --> 00:37:53.370

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Yeah. Um, yes. In this simulations I my minimum masters around point or one of these, are these lines are Colonel density estimates. So they're not. They're nice and smooth. But at the edges are not reliable and

229

00:37:55.020 --> 00:38:01.230

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: And based on the, I mean, based on the code that I'm working with, I can make masses down to

230

00:38:03.240 --> 00:38:22.320

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Down to one Jupiter massive thing and that is also or a regime were work for creation can easily make objects and this fragmentation can also make objects like bad but all of the systems that I have simulated here are because they're coming from this, from this different

231

00:38:23.340 --> 00:38:30.510

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Stellar brown dwarf IMS, then I am assuming for all of them and they're being formed us

232

00:38:32.580 --> 00:38:39.210

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Like from Brighton on fermentation. And in fact, I'm also assuming that the secondary mass distribution is

233

00:38:40.020 --> 00:38:49.770

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Dependent on the first because I am not drawing the secondaries from the first from the IMF from drawing the secondaries from a mass ratio distribution. So that could be an interesting experiment to do as well.

234

00:38:51.630 --> 00:38:53.100

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Try with fascinating. Thank you.

235

00:38:55.020 --> 00:38:56.190

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I apologize, but I'm rushing the

236

00:38:56.820 --> 00:38:58.080

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Know this is really wonderful.

237

00:38:59.370 --> 00:39:03.540

Ana Bonaca: Thank you so much. This is yes, very interesting. And we don't really get to hear much about

238

00:39:04.860 --> 00:39:05.910

Ana Bonaca: Very often, so thank you.

239

00:39:05.910 --> 00:39:06.420

Ana Bonaca: So much

240

00:39:07.620 --> 00:39:11.100

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Yeah, I guess, like the unpopular middle

241

00:39:12.780 --> 00:39:13.350

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: I don't know why.

242

00:39:16.260 --> 00:39:17.340

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Okay, so

243

00:39:18.510 --> 00:39:23.760

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Thank you so much. I'm delighted to introduce our second speaker Evan Bauer, who is

244

00:39:26.490 --> 00:39:27.120

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: I

245

00:39:27.750 --> 00:39:32.640

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: ITC member and a CFA postdoctoral fellow starting this this year.

246

00:39:34.020 --> 00:39:43.620

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: He is an expert on Stellar and binary evolution. And it's going to talk to us today about white dwarfs and some dwarfs and

247

00:39:44.760 --> 00:39:48.150

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And then what did his PhD at UC Santa Barbara and

248

00:39:49.170 --> 00:39:50.130

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: A postdoc

249

00:39:51.240 --> 00:39:57.840

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: At K TP before before coming here at least virtually

250

00:39:59.520 --> 00:40:02.370

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: So we're delighted to have you today and thank you so much.

251

00:40:04.080 --> 00:40:10.050

Evan Bauer: Thanks for Morgan. I'm really happy to be here and actually here. I really am in perking up to 16

252

00:40:10.230 --> 00:40:11.160

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Okay. I thought so.

253

00:40:11.310 --> 00:40:13.710

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: But I didn't know in case I was wrong.

254

00:40:15.000 --> 00:40:16.800

Evan Bauer: So yeah, great to be here. I'm

255

00:40:17.010 --> 00:40:26.370

Evan Bauer: Glad to have a chance to introduce myself more broadly to the ITC so for this talk, it's a little less digging into a really specific science.

256

00:40:27.000 --> 00:40:37.950

Evan Bauer: Problem and more an attempt to give a broad overview of some of the science that I've been doing and plans for what I intend to do over the next few years while I'm here.

257

00:40:38.430 --> 00:40:47.220

Evan Bauer: So hopefully that just gives everyone a better idea of, of what I do and maybe opportunities to collaborate or think about new ideas. So

258

00:40:48.360 --> 00:41:03.900

Evan Bauer: I'm theorist, or maybe a computational list. I work on Stellar Evolution models, especially sub dwarfs and white dwarfs. I often use the code Mesa every once in a while and end up doing development of Mesa when I need new features for the science that I'm working on.

259

00:41:05.250 --> 00:41:11.250

Evan Bauer: And today I'm going to talk about the many topics involving runaway stars and supernovae.

260

00:41:12.270 --> 00:41:15.060

Evan Bauer: That I'm interested in with these kinds of stars.

261

00:41:16.830 --> 00:41:33.000

Evan Bauer: And I guess I should also mention, so my collaborators on this particular work worked a lot with Chris white and Matt Coleman, especially when it comes to the hydro parts of models. They're both Athena plus plus experts and so they've done a lot of really helpful work in

262

00:41:34.980 --> 00:41:50.190

Evan Bauer: Doing hydrodynamic models involving supernovae at the polling is a postdoc now a part of getting Caltech. She does a lot of detonation models for thermonuclear supernovae, and first large Wilson was my PhD advisor at UCSB

263

00:41:51.660 --> 00:41:51.840

Evan Bauer: Oh,

264

00:41:53.010 --> 00:42:02.250

Evan Bauer: There we go. Okay, before I actually get into runaways I did want to quickly mention one other topic that I've done some work on and I'm very interested in white dwarf pollution, so

265

00:42:02.940 --> 00:42:16.470

Evan Bauer: White dwarfs have a lot of really interesting things going on at their surfaces. It turns out, a lot of them show signatures of accretion of planetesimals that somehow get scattered close to the white dwarfs and then totally disrupted created on the whiteboard surfaces.

266

00:42:17.730 --> 00:42:25.410

Evan Bauer: And the physics of that problem is really interesting. I won't really get into it that much today, but I wanted to mention that I work on it.

267

00:42:26.310 --> 00:42:29.820

Evan Bauer: I think the most interesting aspect to me is when you have a steely stratified

268

00:42:30.420 --> 00:42:39.330

Evan Bauer: Stellar structure but you end up with kind of inverted composition, you get interesting physics. So when you have heavy elements sitting on top of light elements.

269

00:42:39.750 --> 00:42:43.260

Evan Bauer: Were white dwarfs tend to want a stratified. The other way, of course, because they're trying gravity.

270

00:42:43.890 --> 00:42:52.530

Evan Bauer: There's lots of interesting physics to do there. And you can see actually the very easy terrestrial analog is if you put a warm saltwater on top of

271

00:42:53.130 --> 00:43:01.440

Evan Bauer: Cold freshwater, you get the same kinds of instabilities I believe it or not, this cool relate to run away stars. I'll try to tie that back in at the end.

272

00:43:02.730 --> 00:43:11.760

Evan Bauer: But getting around to run away stars, I first want to start by kind of defining what I'm really referring to, because the term runaway gets used in a lot of different contexts for

273

00:43:12.390 --> 00:43:29.700

Evan Bauer: Stellar astrophysics. So when I say runaway I really mean pretty fast runaway so people would characterize these as hyper runaways stars moving more than about 500 kilometers per second. In other words, unbound from the galaxy, leaving the galaxy, at least in our neighborhood within the

274

00:43:30.720 --> 00:43:33.420

Evan Bauer: Within a kilo per sec or two where the sunsets.

275

00:43:34.710 --> 00:43:45.990

Evan Bauer: And I want to be careful not to use the term hyper a lot of City Star, especially with Warren brown in the audience that often has connotations of stars coming from the galactic center.

276

00:43:46.380 --> 00:43:53.910

Evan Bauer: That are moving faster than 1000 followers per second. Probably associated with the hills mechanism and the supermassive black hole at the black center.

277

00:43:54.480 --> 00:44:09.390

Evan Bauer: So I'm not talking about that sort of mechanism, but I am talking about stars that move almost that fast, or in some cases, just as fast as what you might have read about in sort of hypervelocity star.

278

00:44:10.650 --> 00:44:25.920

Evan Bauer: Literature, but I'm going to mostly stick to the term runaway for these kinds of stars. And the reason I'm interested in them is, I think, because there are now classes of stars.

279

00:44:26.640 --> 00:44:35.940

Evan Bauer: That with Gaia, Dr. To have been discovered that are moving at these kind of fast velocities, leaving the galaxy 500 up to even 3000 kilometers per second.

280

00:44:36.810 --> 00:44:44.550

Evan Bauer: Their trajectory is don't point anywhere near the galactic center. So the hills mechanism is out unless you believe there supermassive black holes floating around everywhere.

281

00:44:45.390 --> 00:45:02.940

Evan Bauer: Really what has to produce these kinds of stars is binary systems in which you have compact binary is fast orbital velocities center supernova explosion thermonuclear explosion has to occur to destroy one of the stars and liberate the other one or the other one into

282

00:45:04.080 --> 00:45:05.850

Evan Bauer: High Velocity trajectory

283

00:45:07.230 --> 00:45:13.950

Evan Bauer: So just in the last couple of years. A couple distinct classes. I think I would pretty strongly argue that there are multiple classes of these

284

00:45:14.430 --> 00:45:18.390

Evan Bauer: New types of stars have been discovered things to data from Gaia.

285

00:45:19.020 --> 00:45:38.910

Evan Bauer: One of these is so called the six that's named after a mechanism. I think James You Sean did a lot of work on this can Shen lead particular paper discovering these so they even d six for their poetic dynamically driven double degenerate double detonation type one, a supernova scenario.

286

00:45:40.170 --> 00:45:49.560

Evan Bauer: The argument is that this is a double a core system experiences a particular destination mechanism. And since one of the stars, the one that doesn't explode off at a very high velocity

287

00:45:51.000 --> 00:46:00.000

Evan Bauer: And then there's another class of stars that also sort of have some distinctive features that seem to be produced by thermonuclear supernovae and binaries.

288

00:46:00.540 --> 00:46:06.690

Evan Bauer: The name that we kind of ended up as a community is LP 40 just naming them after

289

00:46:07.350 --> 00:46:21.690

Evan Bauer: The first type of star discovered in this class. These are moving a little slower. They're moving sort of in the 500 to 800 kilometers per second range. So they're probably not produced by double Wake of systems, but they do need something

290

00:46:22.770 --> 00:46:33.030

Evan Bauer: pretty dramatic happened in a stellar binary system to produce them. And so just a quick overview, a really nice.

291

00:46:34.170 --> 00:46:39.240

Evan Bauer: illustrations from the website. The idea here is you have a compact binary again.

292

00:46:40.380 --> 00:46:54.180

Evan Bauer: That will spiral together and mass transfer will occur in some way that will cause a thermonuclear supernova. I'm going to stick to being pretty agnostic about the sort of observational classes so

293

00:46:54.660 --> 00:47:04.380

Evan Bauer: Generally, I mean a detonation in a white dwarf is a thermonuclear supernova. I'm not going to be too concerned if it's necessarily, you know, a type one, a

294

00:47:05.160 --> 00:47:19.470

Evan Bauer: Classic Normal Type one a, or maybe a peculiar one day. And then, of course, once that star goes up it liberates the other companions star maybe hits it, and kicks it as well. And that's what is producing these hypervelocity stars we think

295

00:47:21.780 --> 00:47:34.290

Evan Bauer: Okay. One of the most interesting questions that immediately came out when these classes of stars were discovered actually was not really expected. They didn't select them based on where they sound the HR diagram. They mostly went looking for

296

00:47:34.890 --> 00:47:44.880

Evan Bauer: high velocities from proper motion data and Gaia, but they ended up kind of sitting in a consistent place in nature diagram. So here's the guy HR diagram right here is the

297

00:47:45.480 --> 00:47:58.080

Evan Bauer: main sequence white dwarfs are all the way down here, much more compact and these classes of stars are kind of more generally in the sub Dwarf regime in there, you know, maybe up to a few tenths of the solar radius.

298

00:47:58.500 --> 00:48:13.350

Evan Bauer: Definitely not as puffy as a sequence star, not as compact as a white dwarf. And that's actually a big problem for the velocities that they found because the velocity requires

299

00:48:13.920 --> 00:48:21.600

Evan Bauer: Binary orbits that are very, very compact for the for the started actually sit within fit within that binary system, it has to have a radius.

300

00:48:22.080 --> 00:48:31.740

Evan Bauer: Much closer to where white dwarfs would sit to produce the orbital velocity is that are observed with Guy promotion data. And so the first physics problem.

301

00:48:32.310 --> 00:48:44.970

Evan Bauer: I think from a theoretical perspective is to understand how you get from the compact state that they must have been in when the thermonuclear explosion occurred to the currently observed state where we think it's probably

302

00:48:45.900 --> 00:48:52.950

Evan Bauer: Hundreds of thousands or maybe a few million years later. While these stars are on their way out of the galaxy where we're observing them now.

303

00:48:54.810 --> 00:49:07.110

Evan Bauer: So just to quantify that a little more. It's actually pretty straightforward. Using the Roche geometry to write down the requirement of what the radius of the star has to be as a function of its orbital velocity

304

00:49:07.890 --> 00:49:18.030

Evan Bauer: So you see, for every thousand kilometers per second orbital velocity that you want to produce, you need to be smaller than a few hundreds of a solar radius.

305

00:49:19.410 --> 00:49:32.400

Evan Bauer: And the most obvious piece of physics to look at is, can the shock from the supernova ejecta have a large impact on the star. And one way to maybe write down a quick estimate

306

00:49:33.510 --> 00:49:36.390

Evan Bauer: For answering that question is what's the ram pressure in the shock.

307

00:49:37.830 --> 00:49:43.170

Evan Bauer: For you know supernova ejected moving in 10,000 kilometers per second versus sort of the average pressure

308

00:49:43.830 --> 00:49:56.550

Evan Bauer: In the companion star and indeed that's that ratio is pretty high. And so it does seem you seem like you could excite a shock that would have a pretty large impact on the structure of the star. So,

309

00:49:58.020 --> 00:50:02.430

Evan Bauer: One of the kinds of projects I've worked on recently is

310

00:50:03.600 --> 00:50:11.070

Evan Bauer: Looking at pursuing that in more detail with some of the models. So we started with.

311

00:50:12.450 --> 00:50:23.610

Evan Bauer: A family of models largely motivated by compact systems involving a white dwarf and a sub dwarf. So this is kind of my my crude

312

00:50:24.330 --> 00:50:33.030

Evan Bauer: Illustration crucially drawn to scale. So this larger star would be a sub dwarf donors are about half a solar mass helium pops up Dorf

313

00:50:33.780 --> 00:50:44.460

Evan Bauer: Burning helium and its core over here on the left would be a white dwarf. That's about three quarters of a solar mass and these these correspond to actually observed systems that we've seen in our galaxy.

314

00:50:45.240 --> 00:50:54.660

Evan Bauer: If the telephone number of the most famous one up here and we think we know how to evolve that forward in time into a configuration that is very likely to produce some sort of thermonuclear detonation.

315

00:50:55.260 --> 00:51:01.980

Evan Bauer: Because it's a helium sub dwarf star, it will stabilize transfer about point to solar masses.

316

00:51:02.250 --> 00:51:13.650

Evan Bauer: Of helium from the sub North onto the white dwarf. That's very likely to detonate and because the detonation that transitions into the core of the white dwarf and cause a thermonuclear supernova at them at this moment.

317

00:51:14.730 --> 00:51:24.990

Evan Bauer: You can see the supernova is going to occur very close by to the donor star and the donor star has an orbital velocity of 700 kilometers per second for this particular model.

318

00:51:26.460 --> 00:51:40.710

Evan Bauer: And so working with Chris white, who's an expert in Athena, plus, plus, this is a fairly straightforward. In the sense of sort of vanilla. Vanilla ideal 3D hydrodynamic with soft gravity.

319

00:51:41.490 --> 00:51:49.680

Evan Bauer: We mapped from our Mesa models of the binary to Athena, where we put the donor star. The, the remnant of the helium sub Dwarf

320

00:51:50.280 --> 00:51:59.160

Evan Bauer: Here in the Athena grid. And what you're going to see when I play this movie is ejected coming from just off the grid to the left and slamming into that donor star.

321

00:51:59.910 --> 00:52:10.020

Evan Bauer: And you see a shock traverses through the star some material is stripped away the stars kicked a little bit. This is, by the way, in the frame of the

322

00:52:10.740 --> 00:52:19.050

Evan Bauer: Initial orbit of the donor star. So you don't see any of its orbital velocity here but you do see some of the perpendicular kick velocity away from where the supernova occurred.

323

00:52:20.610 --> 00:52:30.720

Evan Bauer: So this particular model is somewhat affected by the shock. It's not very strongly affected but this actually we think is really a family of possible

324

00:52:31.530 --> 00:52:44.940

Evan Bauer: Explosions with explosion energies on the order of 10 and 51 herbs and donor masses that range from sort of point two to maybe point three five solar masses. So

325

00:52:45.540 --> 00:52:53.040

Evan Bauer: If we crank this up to a different model with a little bit more explosion of energy and a system that has actually donated more helium. So it's been shaved down

326

00:52:53.640 --> 00:53:01.680

Evan Bauer: To about point two solar masses actually point two five before the explosion occurs, it has a higher orbital velocity is 900 kilometers per second.

327

00:53:02.430 --> 00:53:13.380

Evan Bauer: This system when it gets hit by the supernova shock really is stripped of a lot of mass, it loses almost half of its mass and the strong track that travels through the interior

328

00:53:14.040 --> 00:53:23.640

Evan Bauer: Deposits, a lot of entropy heating the interior material and and really rearranging the structure of the star. So then we also developed a procedure to map.

329

00:53:24.180 --> 00:53:32.160

Evan Bauer: These kinds of models back into Mesa to do long term stellar evolution, again using these entropy profiles from this family of explosions.

330

00:53:32.700 --> 00:53:47.070

Evan Bauer: So we published a paper about this last year. And because I don't think I have a whole lot of time left, I'll probably go through this pretty quickly. But the upshot is for this class of models, we found that the sub Dwarf donor stars could be pretty strongly impacted by the shock.

331

00:53:48.540 --> 00:53:56.430

Evan Bauer: It actually takes some time for the shock heating to sort of develop into something that

332

00:53:56.850 --> 00:54:13.170

Evan Bauer: Adjust the star over thermal timescales and causes it to inflate but we think on the timescales, a few million years where we actually observe these stars, they will be pretty significantly inflated relative to where they were at the moment of explosion. Here's what they look like.

333

00:54:16.620 --> 00:54:17.280

Evan Bauer: Perfect timing.

334

00:54:17.310 --> 00:54:20.730

Evan Bauer: So this is one of those classes of stars that we think

335

00:54:20.730 --> 00:54:36.360

Evan Bauer: Probably the, the lower velocity fast the LP 40 stars probably nicely corresponds to this scenario, involving some dwarf donors that are compact enough to get up to maybe eight or 900 kilometers per second, but not compact enough to get up to the thousands of kilometers per second.

336

00:54:38.040 --> 00:54:50.970

Evan Bauer: So to explain the second class of hypervelocity stars that has been observed, you really need double white dwarf systems. And so we're in the process of working to extend some of that modeling to

337

00:54:52.320 --> 00:55:02.490

Evan Bauer: Do white dwarfs interacting with supernova ejecta which is a harder problem that actually involves a lot of Athena development to have an equation state suitable

338

00:55:03.090 --> 00:55:17.610

Evan Bauer: For white dwarf. So this is a very preliminary model qualitatively will show you the kinds of things we're working on, I think we have a long way to go here. Still, but this is something that Matt Coleman ran with some new equation of state capabilities that he's developed for Athena.

339

00:55:19.200 --> 00:55:24.600

Evan Bauer: And you can see, you know, qualitatively. A similar model where you have a white dwarf.

340

00:55:24.990 --> 00:55:35.700

Evan Bauer: Getting hit by supernova ejected from nearby supernova. I think the most fun thing about this movie is the time scale is different because this is so much more of a compact system.

341

00:55:36.630 --> 00:55:44.610

Evan Bauer: That the the time you'll notice is actually running in real time, which corresponds to simulation time as well.

342

00:55:44.970 --> 00:55:51.450

Evan Bauer: So turns out that you know the time scale for this whole process in a compact white dwarf system really is a few minutes.

343

00:55:52.080 --> 00:56:01.140

Evan Bauer: So you can watch the watch the video in real time. It turns out for this particular model is the shock does not affect the white dwarf nearly as strongly so

344

00:56:01.740 --> 00:56:17.730

Evan Bauer: In attempting to explain the observed structure of these really high velocity six white dwarf what we think we're once white dwarfs the shock is not enough to explain it. And that's really because when I wrote down that sort of simple little formula I assumed

345

00:56:18.870 --> 00:56:26.730

Evan Bauer: More or less than ideal gas equation and state, the pressure in the center of a white dwarf is dominated by degeneracy pressure is much higher. And so the refresher of the shock is not enough.

346

00:56:27.360 --> 00:56:35.640

Evan Bauer: To really significantly perturb it so for this set of models so far it looks like pure hydrodynamic is not enough to explain

347

00:56:36.660 --> 00:56:49.620

Evan Bauer: What is going to cause this white dwarf to end up significantly inflated into the state that we see for the observed objects today. So there's a lot more work that we think needs to be done to figure out what kind of

348

00:56:51.480 --> 00:56:54.930

Evan Bauer: What kind of explanations are left for those objects.

349

00:56:56.550 --> 00:57:06.420

Evan Bauer: And then switching gears just a little bit. So this is going back to one of the sub work models plotting it in a slightly different color scale to show you the composition of that.

350

00:57:07.500 --> 00:57:17.850

Evan Bauer: Model. So if you track the composition of what is supernova ejecta and red. And what is the donor star or material being stripped away from the donor star and blue.

351

00:57:19.140 --> 00:57:26.850

Evan Bauer: This is kind of the beginning step of what we want to do to understand what ends up being the composition of the final object.

352

00:57:28.170 --> 00:57:40.230

Evan Bauer: And so I'm working with, again, Matt Coleman and Chris white and also Abby Poland to really understand what kind of supernova director profiles are the right things to us here to try to start calculating

353

00:57:40.830 --> 00:57:53.880

Evan Bauer: The mixing that will occur, kind of at the end of this shock. There should be some lower velocity tail of material from the supernova that gets created on to the final bound remnant, even after you strip away a lot of material from the initial surface.

354

00:57:55.200 --> 00:58:09.930

Evan Bauer: And that's important because these classes of stars, they definitely show signs of you might call it pollution. Here's a figure from Caltrans paper a couple years ago, comparing low resolution spectrum of the

355

00:58:10.620 --> 00:58:22.080

Evan Bauer: High Velocity runaway d six candidates, he's comparing That's The Black low risk factor down here, comparing actually depleted white dwarfs showing in some sense, they look like just

356

00:58:22.590 --> 00:58:28.050

Evan Bauer: Heavily extra polluted white dwarfs. There's clearly going to be a lot of information in the spectrum to my now.

357

00:58:28.620 --> 00:58:33.240

Evan Bauer: That hasn't been done yet, but we'd like to understand how they get to that state.

358

00:58:33.810 --> 00:58:40.500

Evan Bauer: For the other class the LP 40 stars actually some of the composition analysis has been done, they got high resolution spectra and spent

359

00:58:41.190 --> 00:58:51.810

Evan Bauer: Quite a lot of effort trying to understand what is the composition of these objects and a really strange answer, actually. So this figure is a little bit

360

00:58:52.710 --> 00:59:09.090

Evan Bauer: Much to walk through maybe in a few minutes. But I would focus on the three bars on the left here are the actual observed objects for what their model came out saying that the surface composition is dominated by and it's actually mostly neon and oxygen.

361

00:59:10.200 --> 00:59:16.830

Evan Bauer: Absolutely no hydrogen really no sign of helium. And so there's a lot of

362

00:59:17.340 --> 00:59:29.580

Evan Bauer: I think speculation out there still about how you can produce this structure. And I just want to point out, you really have to do a lot of stellar evolution modeling to get from the moment of some particular supernova explosion model to

363

00:59:30.120 --> 00:59:39.210

Evan Bauer: What we would observe now because presumably if you have heavy elements at the surface. There's going to be a lot of rearranging for sedimentation, you know, these are compact objects, they have

364

00:59:39.810 --> 00:59:56.070

Evan Bauer: fairly strong surface gravity's. And so there's a lot of different mixing physics that you have to account for to understand what are we observing. How do we map that from, you know, an initial supernova explosion model into something that will be observed a few million years later.

365

00:59:57.480 --> 01:00:09.750

Evan Bauer: So yeah, I guess I'll close by trying to wrap back around to, in some ways, I do think it's it's similar physical modeling to be done. That has not yet been done to polluted white births.

366

01:00:10.200 --> 01:00:19.590

Evan Bauer: But just under much more extreme conditions when you have an atmosphere that's actually dominated by these heavy, heavy elements, rather than just having traces

367

01:00:20.940 --> 01:00:32.640

Evan Bauer: That are being observed. But I also think that the the prospects for rewards from this work could be even greater if you care about supernovae, especially because I think they could tell us a lot about

368

01:00:33.660 --> 01:00:41.610

Evan Bauer: The supernova explosion mechanism that is producing these kinds of stars. So yeah, I think I should wrap up there. Thanks.

369

01:00:44.580 --> 01:00:45.150

Ana Bonaca: So much

370

01:00:47.730 --> 01:01:02.580

Ana Bonaca: Definitely a rich area that has many connections through his interest at the FTC as well and to kick us off on the ass. If you have consider the impact of supernova inject on closing planets.

371

01:01:05.250 --> 01:01:09.480

Evan Bauer: Um, I have not personally consider that effect.

372

01:01:12.870 --> 01:01:21.900

Evan Bauer: I think I once I ran across an old Nature paper by Sterling Colgate about that. So that might be the place to start. But yeah, I haven't looked at that particular topic.

373

01:01:22.680 --> 01:01:41.220

Ana Bonaca: Do you have any information as to what would happen if the nila as our first speaker. I'm kind of afterwards separation with a planet or companion THE ACTIVES by the supernova is blessed and way to push the object closer to do like or or further away from it.

374

01:01:42.270 --> 01:01:51.030

Evan Bauer: Oh yeah. Well, one thing we do see that there's a cake away from, from where the white dwarf sits

375

01:01:54.180 --> 01:02:10.260

Evan Bauer: Yeah, I mean I think in general planets are probably going to be further away and experience less of a shock. Right. Yeah. I mean this these binary stars are probably about us as close as Kim as an object can be and so

376

01:02:11.580 --> 01:02:13.650

Evan Bauer: What that means that there's actually

377

01:02:15.570 --> 01:02:18.660

Evan Bauer: If you talk about filling the Rocio before you have to

378

01:02:18.660 --> 01:02:20.550

Evan Bauer: Serve going and accreting onto the

379

01:02:22.200 --> 01:02:31.350

Evan Bauer: Front of the white dwarf companion that tends to happen at a fairly constant solid angle fraction, meaning

380

01:02:31.740 --> 01:02:42.240

Evan Bauer: you're presenting a constant cross section to the supernova, whether you move further than, you know, if you take a main sequence star feeling it's Russia much further away, it will actually be sort of similarly impacted

381

01:02:43.170 --> 01:02:47.460

Evan Bauer: Or kick it objective, because it presents the same overall cross section.

382

01:02:48.600 --> 01:02:55.080

Evan Bauer: So then extending that to planets. I think you would only see things further away and less less impacted

383

01:02:59.430 --> 01:03:03.300

Ana Bonaca: Looks like we have a couple of race 10 so Amy Jo Raymond

384

01:03:04.500 --> 01:03:04.980

Ana Bonaca: Go ahead.

385

01:03:06.120 --> 01:03:06.480

John C. Raymond: Hi.

386

01:03:07.860 --> 01:03:20.310

John C. Raymond: There is 196 star that's associated with 100,000 year old supermodel remnant is under thousand years long enough for the rainy supernova rejected on the surface and

387

01:03:21.360 --> 01:03:24.480

John C. Raymond: White dwarf to potentially something or not.

388

01:03:26.070 --> 01:03:36.000

Evan Bauer: Yeah, I think that is definitely the probably the right time scale for things for interesting things to happen.

389

01:03:37.260 --> 01:03:44.520

Evan Bauer: So yeah, I think it's long enough, because these objects are fairly compact.

390

01:03:46.800 --> 01:03:51.960

Evan Bauer: That you know if if it were a white dwarf actually singing timescales can be as short as

391

01:03:52.920 --> 01:04:01.440

Evan Bauer: Just a few years because they're somewhat puffy, or I think the the overall settling timescale is maybe hundreds of thousands of years.

392

01:04:01.950 --> 01:04:08.430

Evan Bauer: But then there's also probably important fluid instabilities like thermal healing mixing that will operate on even shorter timescales to rearrange things

393

01:04:12.210 --> 01:04:15.000

Ana Bonaca: Have one more question for open care center.

394

01:04:16.020 --> 01:04:26.280

Wolfgang Kerzendorf: Hi, thank you so much for the talk and and someone invited me to this thing. I'm coming in from for Michigan State University and and I've been very interested. Obviously in surviving companions.

395

01:04:27.120 --> 01:04:35.250

Wolfgang Kerzendorf: Most of my career, I've looked for surviving companions one A remnants. And now you've sort of made a new candidate or new new proposed.

396

01:04:36.450 --> 01:04:42.300

Wolfgang Kerzendorf: Sort of surviving companion. And I'm wondering how deep do we need to search to

397

01:04:42.900 --> 01:04:56.070

Wolfgang Kerzendorf: Find them and like what are your faintest model, if you would sort of go in and say like, Oh, no, we would go to this step, and I saw 10,000 Calvin and roughly so luminosity pop is that the faintest you get funny things or

398

01:04:58.890 --> 01:05:04.140

Evan Bauer: Scroll back to, you know, this particular family of models.

399

01:05:09.330 --> 01:05:15.780

Evan Bauer: Yeah, so I mean one thing i definitely skipped over here is I, there are different tracks for, you know,

400

01:05:16.260 --> 01:05:30.870

Evan Bauer: Different. This is kind of a set of models with different explosion energies and different amounts of impact by the object to the slack X your marks were on the track. It has the lifetime since the explosion has been 10 million years.

401

01:05:32.580 --> 01:05:41.790

Evan Bauer: So for some of them. Yeah, you do end up with with fainter objects that never really inflate quite as much and they they sort of start pulling down

402

01:05:43.590 --> 01:05:55.590

Evan Bauer: On timescales of roughly 10 million years. I think there is an upper limit of these kinds of objects tend to leave the galaxy within a few 10s of millions of years. Right.

403

01:05:56.640 --> 01:06:02.310

Wolfgang Kerzendorf: Now I'm looking at like 1006 like 1000 years old or 2000 years old so I

404

01:06:03.930 --> 01:06:04.350

Evan Bauer: Don't mean

405

01:06:04.680 --> 01:06:05.460

Evan Bauer: Like what yeah

406

01:06:05.700 --> 01:06:13.260

Wolfgang Kerzendorf: Temperatures will be low temperatures and luminosity will be be looking there so 10 million years is not something where we can see some know remember

407

01:06:13.440 --> 01:06:23.430

Evan Bauer: Superman. Yeah, so that's actually, that's it. Yeah, you're really talking about the trying to associate stars with the remnants. Um, that's right. That's short enough

408

01:06:24.090 --> 01:06:35.760

Evan Bauer: That I think that would be long before the shock ends up really causing the star to be inflated and so it would be pretty low luminosity.

409

01:06:37.080 --> 01:06:47.820

Evan Bauer: So in this bigger and the what's happening is there's a thermal wave. There was entropy deposited deeper in the core of the star that takes time before it can

410

01:06:48.450 --> 01:07:02.250

Evan Bauer: Diffuse outward and then we rearrange the structure of the star and depending on the model, you know, you see, here's there's a set of models where that happens within a few thousand years. And there's a set him off. So it takes up to a few million years.

411

01:07:03.420 --> 01:07:14.970

Evan Bauer: So we did do some work on estimating that. But yeah, I think, actually, in your case, waiting longer would be the the best chance of seeing brighter objects.

412

01:07:15.000 --> 01:07:16.200

Wolfgang Kerzendorf: I unfortunately don't have

413

01:07:16.620 --> 01:07:17.190

Yeah.

414

01:07:19.170 --> 01:07:33.090

Evan Bauer: Yeah, but I don't. Maybe, I don't know how long. Yeah. Older supernova remnants. I think you know things on the order of 1000 years. There are some families of models where that can emerge. I guess it's the way to frame it

415

01:07:38.250 --> 01:07:52.050

Ana Bonaca: Just as a final question and the think there will be new types of Runaway Stars found in a Gaya likely your model theoretically predict some sometimes that haven't been observed, yes.

416

01:07:54.120 --> 01:08:09.990

Evan Bauer: Well, I think the thing that I'm really most excited about is getting more analysis of the stars that have been observed. So because they have such complicated spectra, it's pretty hard to understand.

417

01:08:12.630 --> 01:08:22.110

Evan Bauer: Yeah, I think there's there's a lot. There's just a lot more information to be gleaned I think with coming guy data releases, we probably will start to get

418

01:08:23.160 --> 01:08:29.220

Evan Bauer: Better constraints on exactly what the orbital parameters of those systems are

419

01:08:30.330 --> 01:08:40.740

Evan Bauer: I can't remember if there's an estimate that will see many more in the very near future but but we might I think we haven't really searched exhaustively for these stars.

420

01:08:42.060 --> 01:08:57.090

Ana Bonaca: Well, I'm looking forward to continuing this conversation as a carrot m&s here coming into the CSA so please feel free to reach out and if there are more Christians yet. There's also a Slack channel where we can continue this discussion.

421

01:08:57.450 --> 01:08:59.010

Evan Bauer: Although hanging out on Slack, but

422

01:09:01.110 --> 01:09:02.610

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Thank you know speakers.

423

01:09:04.290 --> 01:09:04.530

Ana Bonaca: And

424

01:09:04.590 --> 01:09:05.790

Ana Bonaca: Thank you for coming.

425

01:09:05.940 --> 01:09:11.070

Ana Bonaca: And being so engaged today and like for more than an hour. So I thank you all so much.

426

01:09:12.360 --> 01:09:12.810

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Bye bye.

427

01:09:13.260 --> 01:09:15.450

Ana Bonaca: See you next week. Bye.

428

01:09:25.740 --> 01:09:26.310

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Thank you again.

429

01:09:27.600 --> 01:09:35.910

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Thank you again, sorry. Oh yeah, thank you. I just, I always say, mentally, and sometimes just for discussion. It's just

430

01:09:37.230 --> 01:09:37.590

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: No.

431

01:09:37.650 --> 01:09:38.580

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, that makes sense.

432

01:09:39.210 --> 01:09:47.790

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, actually. Yeah, I, I'm kind of session before it should probably write it up on Slack. So there's just like her this question.

433

01:09:49.080 --> 01:09:51.000

Ana Bonaca: But, uh, yeah, I'll do that.

434

01:09:51.810 --> 01:09:52.200

Okay.

435

01:09:53.400 --> 01:09:55.140

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Cool, thank you so much for inviting me know

436

01:09:55.410 --> 01:09:56.730

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Yeah, we're really great

437

01:09:58.350 --> 01:10:03.360

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: Can I, can I get the link to a talk at some point. Yes, yes.

438

01:10:04.320 --> 01:10:07.410

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Yeah definitely send it along. It'll be

439

01:10:07.590 --> 01:10:09.720

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Not immediately but like

440

01:10:09.900 --> 01:10:10.170

Ana Bonaca: That's

441

01:10:10.740 --> 01:10:14.580

Ana Bonaca: Actually pretty quick. I think Marty within a base so yeah

442

01:10:14.640 --> 01:10:14.910

Okay.

443

01:10:16.020 --> 01:10:18.150

Daniella Bardalez Gagliuffi: All right, well thanks a bunch and

444

01:10:18.210 --> 01:10:21.750

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, I think internally and I don't stretch, but I think if I guess.

445

01:10:22.800 --> 01:10:23.130

We can