

WEBVTT

1

00:00:07.470 --> 00:00:09.300

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Yes, and

2

00:00:11.160 --> 00:00:13.889

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: We're really grateful to have you. And we're excited to

3

00:00:15.150 --> 00:00:21.630

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Hear today but supernova and or not and about planets and

4

00:00:23.100 --> 00:00:32.460

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And have some great discussion. So the format is our speakers will talk for about 20 minutes each, and then we'll have time for about 10 minutes of question that's well try to finish.

5

00:00:33.570 --> 00:00:34.380

12 o'clock.

6

00:00:35.820 --> 00:00:45.300

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Yes. Our first speaker today is Jennifer Enders Jennifer is a researcher at the University of Arizona, she is an expert on

7

00:00:46.320 --> 00:00:56.370

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Following all things transients in the night sky. But I think your, your specialty that has evolved has really become

8

00:00:59.550 --> 00:01:09.030

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Explosions where there is lots of other material around so it's all sort of these bizarre luminous supernovae that we'll get to hear about today.

9

00:01:10.170 --> 00:01:16.560

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: But for this. You're a postdoc, and in a couple places and you did your PhD.

10

00:01:17.910 --> 00:01:21.990

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: At LS view and we're delighted to have you here today. Thank you for

11

00:01:23.070 --> 00:01:28.020

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Navigating the time difference and getting up early. I hope you weren't observing last night.

12

00:01:30.480 --> 00:01:33.570

Jennifer Andrews: Unfortunately, I don't want us on the mountains yet, but we're doing remote stuff. Yeah.

13

00:01:33.690 --> 00:01:36.000

Jennifer Andrews: Ice cubes are handling that right

14

00:01:37.320 --> 00:01:38.520

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Well, thank you so much.

15

00:01:39.660 --> 00:01:43.500

Jennifer Andrews: Great. All right. Let's share the screen.

16

00:01:48.780 --> 00:02:08.520

Jennifer Andrews: All right. Yes. And like a Morgan said, I am at University of Arizona where I started as a postdoc with Nathan Smith and, as often happens, I think when everyone gets to Tucson. No one really wants to leave. And so we find reasons to stay around for as long as you can.

17

00:02:10.410 --> 00:02:24.510

Jennifer Andrews: And when working with Nathan Smith, you end up whether you want to or not working on a two car and massive stars and things that lose a lot of mass prior to ending their life.

18

00:02:25.560 --> 00:02:35.850

Jennifer Andrews: So this, we're not staring it. There we go. So today, I'm just going to talk about supernova. Let me start my timer so that I do not run over.

19

00:02:37.950 --> 00:02:52.920

Jennifer Andrews: About supernova imposters and the eruptions of massive stars. I am a complete observational astronomer so theory is not in my wheelhouse, but I will gladly supply theorists with any observations, they want

20

00:02:54.360 --> 00:02:56.490

Jennifer Andrews: So to start off with.

21

00:02:58.110 --> 00:03:00.300

Jennifer Andrews: Are you not going to advance. Okay. We have to click

22

00:03:01.650 --> 00:03:04.710

Jennifer Andrews: Oh, now I can't see my title slide. There we go.

23

00:03:05.790 --> 00:03:10.380

Jennifer Andrews: Um, so some of you may or may not have seen this plot by monsey before

24

00:03:11.520 --> 00:03:27.300

Jennifer Andrews: Where we have absolute magnitude and timescale of various types of transients. These are if you see. So absolute magnitude. So towards the top we have our thermonuclear and then our core collapse supernova, and then down to the bottom, all kinds of interesting

25

00:03:28.890 --> 00:03:35.580

Jennifer Andrews: Recurrent and or no Bay and classical Nova and all that sort of stuff. And then everywhere in between, say, between minus 10

26

00:03:36.780 --> 00:03:46.380

Jennifer Andrews: Absolutely magnitude of my as tend to maybe minus 14 or 15 are kind of what we dug gap transients and you can see a few examples. Well,

27

00:03:46.860 --> 00:04:00.870

Jennifer Andrews: At seven, eight, not a get friends yet, but it's on the kind of the fainter end of the quarter club supernovae, and then the beautiful be a great mom and ate a car here in sort of a BB region. I'm another

28

00:04:02.550 --> 00:04:11.100

Jennifer Andrews: So they're called sort of gap transits because there are the gap between classical innovate and core clubs supernovae, but they are often

29

00:04:12.600 --> 00:04:23.520

Jennifer Andrews: Referred to as supernova imposters they're sort of interchangeable and depending on who you ask. And what day it is. They can be sort of sub divided into

30

00:04:23.850 --> 00:04:38.490

Jennifer Andrews: Three different categories. And you'll see this plot, again, so we can discuss which which types of transients refer to what type of object, the sort of the very simple picture is that we can break down these gap transients these supernova imposters

31

00:04:39.540 --> 00:04:54.150

Jennifer Andrews: Into sort of three camps, one being lbs. Massive blue stars that variable. Usually, these are the super Eddington interruption. So the really big eruptions, where they get brighter by more than a magnitude

32

00:04:55.500 --> 00:05:11.790

Jennifer Andrews: More than one or two magnitudes. These were kind of the generally the first sort of imposters that were noticed. And so often super I've been pestering LB is used interchangeably, but I'm trying to make the distinction here that they are different.

33

00:05:13.530 --> 00:05:18.690

Jennifer Andrews: And then you have the luminous reading obey read and obey, which are

34

00:05:19.830 --> 00:05:25.860

Jennifer Andrews: Generally we think merger candidates larger events common of local events. And then finally, these

35

00:05:26.730 --> 00:05:42.480

Jennifer Andrews: Intermediate luminosity red transients I also call them 2008 as, like, because it's easier for me to remember which instead of trying to figure out what the acronym means and it's based on the 2008 is sort of the first object. The canonical object that we

36

00:05:43.560 --> 00:05:55.620

Jennifer Andrews: Refer to and we think these come from low mass electron capture supernovae. Now as you can see on the bottom. These are these are optical spectra of these different events.

37

00:05:56.460 --> 00:06:08.520

Jennifer Andrews: And especially when they're first detected as you can notice if you are familiar at all with optical spectroscopy is they look almost identical. So when you discover a transplant.

38

00:06:09.450 --> 00:06:19.140

Jennifer Andrews: And you take the spectrum and you try to classify it often if we were to look at just the cross section here we see these nice

39

00:06:20.460 --> 00:06:30.960

Jennifer Andrews: Strong narrow bomber lines h alpha sometimes H beta occasionally some calcium lines but early on. They're almost indistinguishable. So often when these are discovered there just put out

40

00:06:31.440 --> 00:06:41.640

Jennifer Andrews: an astronomer telegram or various other events as a interacting supernova or led eruption sort of the two camps are put into and not until we get

41

00:06:42.600 --> 00:06:46.770

Jennifer Andrews: Additional spectra and light curves can we sort of figure out where they fit.

42

00:06:47.490 --> 00:07:00.180

Jennifer Andrews: Into the whole scheme of things, because as we'll see in a second. A lot of these also span a wide range of absolute magnitude. So that even makes it harder without having an evolution with time, figure out what's going on.

43

00:07:02.040 --> 00:07:11.820

Jennifer Andrews: So the major LB V eruptions. The first class. And what I'm going to kind of talk about the most is in this region here. So the, the timescale is

44

00:07:12.900 --> 00:07:25.530

Jennifer Andrews: How long it takes to decline one magnitude, and I think it's usually the our band. And so you can see how movies can last a long time and they sort of run the gamut.

45

00:07:26.610 --> 00:07:40.230

Jennifer Andrews: In the Fall supernova imposter range, like I said, they're from massively progenitors the super addict interruptions. So the other event or the restaurant disruptions that are sort of, you know, one to two magnitudes. They're very

46

00:07:41.220 --> 00:07:47.190

Jennifer Andrews: Small, the most important thing I think is that they survived the event. So the light curves.

47

00:07:48.120 --> 00:07:57.090

Jennifer Andrews: As you can see at the bottom corner here. These are. It's a car piece of need quite a few lbs having these massive eruptions and you can see for

48

00:07:57.750 --> 00:08:04.920

Jennifer Andrews: Thousands of days afterwards. It takes a while for it to fade it can take a while for the LPP to fade.

49

00:08:05.850 --> 00:08:22.680

Jennifer Andrews: And it does survive the event, you need a car is an example. It's still there, but once again the spectral click interacting superhighway. So it's hard to distinguish at first because you can see absolute magnitude wise it kind of runs the whole the whole gamut here.

50

00:08:24.570 --> 00:08:31.560

Jennifer Andrews: Now the intermediate luminosity transients but I'll our t's are the 2008 as like

51

00:08:32.970 --> 00:08:43.920

Jennifer Andrews: An effect. You can see like her 2008 as here. I'm like I said, we think these are come from lower mess stars. They're super AG bees that have some electron capture

52

00:08:45.120 --> 00:08:47.520

Jennifer Andrews: That come from electron capture supernovae.

53

00:08:48.750 --> 00:08:52.020

Jennifer Andrews: And so they are terminal there shouldn't be anything left

54

00:08:53.310 --> 00:09:00.930

Jennifer Andrews: There usually have destined shouted progenitors so that you don't find the progenitor and the optical if you happen to have serendipitous.

55

00:09:02.280 --> 00:09:18.150

Jennifer Andrews: Imaging beforehand. You can usually find them in the eye, are particularly if you have Spitzer imaging, once again, they're spectra look like interacting supernovae, but they they fade quite quicker than the LR tease.

56

00:09:20.340 --> 00:09:26.730

Jennifer Andrews: And just keep in mind where I say they usually almost always have Dustin tried to progenitors because that's sort of the whole

57

00:09:27.750 --> 00:09:32.730

Jennifer Andrews: crux of my talk today. And then finally, luminous read obey

58

00:09:34.680 --> 00:09:37.140

Jennifer Andrews: Their brighter than red nose.

59

00:09:38.160 --> 00:09:50.160

Jennifer Andrews: And so they're called luminous or no way we're so we're very good at naming things generally, sort of, you know, with the three month particular and then other mergers.

60

00:09:51.330 --> 00:10:08.520

Jennifer Andrews: They were kind of put them this lower magnitude regime, but we've been finding over the years that you can actually have luminous read nobody that are quite quite bright and like I said, they think they're mergers and or the common objection. They can be both low and high mess stars.

61

00:10:09.630 --> 00:10:11.280

Jennifer Andrews: One very distinct

62

00:10:12.390 --> 00:10:22.920

Jennifer Andrews: Characteristic why you need to keep following these is there like hers generally have multiple peaks and you can see down here. There's a couple so be three mind has multiple peaks.

63

00:10:23.580 --> 00:10:31.410

Jennifer Andrews: But a couple of other objects. There's a first a red peak and our first one picking it up, often called the blue and the red peak.

64

00:10:33.150 --> 00:10:42.390

Jennifer Andrews: And the peaks and be separated, which we think, and I'm not going to go into too much here, but based on you know the masses of the of the stars.

65

00:10:43.560 --> 00:10:48.810

Jennifer Andrews: So that's a good predictor there that it's it's a merger event.

66

00:10:50.340 --> 00:11:01.560

Jennifer Andrews: So sort of what I want to focus on is can some or all of these, these 2008 is like they intermediate al RTS

67

00:11:03.120 --> 00:11:10.800

Jennifer Andrews: Could instead of being these low mass a TV stars, can they be dusty massive stars, but maybe with the interesting viewing angle.

68

00:11:11.820 --> 00:11:25.770

Jennifer Andrews: Or in other words, can some of the three different types of gap transients blurred lines between can you have multiple types of can you have an LB be and I'll our team.

69

00:11:28.110 --> 00:11:35.070

Jennifer Andrews: And the motivation for this is an object that we found in

70

00:11:36.150 --> 00:11:43.620

Jennifer Andrews: The near will nearby about 10 mega parsecs in 74 so you can see on the rights beautiful Gemini image there.

71

00:11:44.670 --> 00:11:51.930

Jennifer Andrews: And it was actually an object that was discovered in Switzerland. So if you see down the bottom corner the

72

00:11:53.250 --> 00:12:11.130

Jennifer Andrews: May 17. It was actually it was discovered on in July and. We scrounge back and went through the archives. And sure enough, it was actually detected, both in Spitzer and an HST images.

73

00:12:12.360 --> 00:12:19.380

Jennifer Andrews: Interestingly, it was behind the sun in in May. So we didn't catch it on the ground when it was bright and the optical

74

00:12:19.860 --> 00:12:31.110

Jennifer Andrews: But thankfully Switzer doesn't have to worry so much or didn't have to worry so much about what us as people from the ground could see it was able to catch it earlier. So we think we got the maximum in the in the mid I are here.

75

00:12:32.280 --> 00:12:41.700

Jennifer Andrews: But you can see that on the first panel is HST imaging in the I band. And you can see the progenitor and the top and

76

00:12:42.570 --> 00:12:50.250

Jennifer Andrews: On the bottom, this is the detection after the eruption. And then the little panels are the Spitzer images here.

77

00:12:50.970 --> 00:13:06.660

Jennifer Andrews: But what's really interesting is that because I'm saying before, is very well. So a galaxy. There's been at least three other supernova in it that we know of. There was a ton of archival data. And so we poured through all of that.

78

00:13:07.830 --> 00:13:13.890

Jennifer Andrews: And found not only archival HST data but collaborators.

79

00:13:15.180 --> 00:13:26.970

Jennifer Andrews: Actually had a whole bunch of deep LGBT data so large vascular telescope data and we were able to reconstruct a light curve of the progenitor for over 6000 days.

80

00:13:27.900 --> 00:13:38.010

Jennifer Andrews: So this is the combination of HST and LGBT data and the little thing to kind of the, the Spencer Spencer like her up at the top, those are mostly

81

00:13:38.970 --> 00:13:47.160

Jennifer Andrews: upper limits. Right. The detection range. This thing is 10 mega parsecs away, it's you know, it's pretty far and it's on the fainter and so it's not a super obey

82

00:13:49.260 --> 00:13:55.800

Jennifer Andrews: But there doesn't seem to be any major outburst from 2002 on. So, this thing's been pretty pretty stable.

83

00:13:57.210 --> 00:14:07.890

Jennifer Andrews: And then somewhere we so this dashed line here indicates our may 17 Spencer point. So we're just calling that the max, give or take a few weeks.

84

00:14:09.090 --> 00:14:24.870

Jennifer Andrews: Then it just brightened from quite a few magnitudes. As you can see here in the image and then it started to decline. So it was really interesting that we had this fantastic data set. And as I mentioned before, these

85

00:14:25.890 --> 00:14:36.660

Jennifer Andrews: Uh, these IoT types of objects have never had progenitors scene and the optical so we didn't immediately assume that it was that, type, type of object.

86

00:14:38.460 --> 00:14:43.020

Jennifer Andrews: But then when we got looked at the spectroscopy us very interesting

87

00:14:44.160 --> 00:14:52.530

Jennifer Andrews: They show only hydraulic the bomber lines hydrogen here and calcium lines and nothing

88

00:14:53.550 --> 00:15:00.180

Jennifer Andrews: Is fairly flat continuum. It seemed to indicate interaction, because there's no piecing the absorption or anything like that.

89

00:15:01.800 --> 00:15:18.330

Jennifer Andrews: But and here on the right is subsample of these IoT. So there's 2008 is here. And while it was classified as sort of a two in are interacting supernova or nobody, you know, it could be other things. So we kept following it.

90

00:15:20.160 --> 00:15:28.830

Jennifer Andrews: And it does have characteristics that look quite like these 2008 subjects. Interestingly, there was a serendipitous.

91

00:15:29.400 --> 00:15:46.830

Jennifer Andrews: muse VLT spectrum of the progenitor beforehand. So we actually have a progenitor spectra from a couple of months before explosion which was really interesting and allowed us to see that not only with time has the hydrogen shaped not changed much.

92

00:15:48.450 --> 00:15:54.600

Jennifer Andrews: But that there was already some sort of interesting physics going on with the progenitor there.

93

00:15:56.040 --> 00:16:00.270

Jennifer Andrews: But it also hardly changed with time. Okay, that was was at my five minute bell

94

00:16:02.880 --> 00:16:06.840

Jennifer Andrews: And like I said there was no outbursts and the decade prior to eruption. And here are some examples.

95

00:16:06.960 --> 00:16:14.700

Jennifer Andrews: Some examples of other types of I'll parties. These are in sort of the mergers and lbs. And so it can be

96

00:16:15.900 --> 00:16:21.210

Jennifer Andrews: Plotting up against the light curves, it could be quite a few different types of objects.

97

00:16:23.580 --> 00:16:25.800

Jennifer Andrews: But this is I think really the interesting part.

98

00:16:27.030 --> 00:16:31.830

Jennifer Andrews: We plot up some some CMT s here. So this is the HST

99

00:16:32.970 --> 00:16:46.170

Jennifer Andrews: 336 and 555 against the 336 and of course these are not going to give us exact masses. We aren't these are you know single star models and but it gives us an idea of what the mass of the progenitor may be

100

00:16:47.220 --> 00:17:02.820

Jennifer Andrews: And if we change the extinction, which we didn't give it, we can't quite quantify because of multiple things. But one being if there's dust immediately around the object, it can destroy it while it gets brighter. It can also

101

00:17:04.410 --> 00:17:12.090

Jennifer Andrews: Mask any of our normal lines we use to sort of measure what the extinction is. But basically, depending on how much extinction. We apply

102

00:17:13.440 --> 00:17:15.990

Jennifer Andrews: We can get masses, ranging from 13 to 58

103

00:17:17.040 --> 00:17:23.280

Jennifer Andrews: And the main picture to pull away here is that it's not a low mass star. This is a massive star and it's blue.

104

00:17:24.420 --> 00:17:44.430

Jennifer Andrews: And because we had so much little early time deep HST data of the progenitor I thought it was really interesting that depending on what epic. So in the different color circles are different epics what epic you actually observe and use to determine the mass, it gave you a slightly different

105

00:17:45.570 --> 00:17:47.610

Jennifer Andrews: Mass and even sort of

106

00:17:48.840 --> 00:17:52.110

Jennifer Andrews: Evolutionary state which sort of cautions against

107

00:17:54.120 --> 00:18:10.260

Jennifer Andrews: Using just one epic, especially if you have only one or two color to classify your the mass of your object. But hey, we can only use what we have. So thankfully we had a embarrassment of riches and progenitor data for the sky.

108

00:18:12.240 --> 00:18:26.520

Jennifer Andrews: But sort of the, the final takeaway. I guess we might show that in a car video is that this really looks like that. It is a hot blue massive star that resembles a

109

00:18:27.390 --> 00:18:42.720

Jennifer Andrews: Transient class that we think come from low mass very dusty electron capture supernovae types. So 2008 s and in DC 300 ot here and the teal and the purple or the or the

110

00:18:43.440 --> 00:18:52.260

Jennifer Andrews: Canonical members there. And while our object is much much brighter and the optical it's roughly the same brightness in the IR

111

00:18:53.190 --> 00:19:12.480

Jennifer Andrews: And that led us to think and bad along with the morphology of the emission lines. So over here is the Java mission line Carell IS HERE IN THE BLACK AND THEN HE or some other 2008 s like objects. So it's a little bit faster. And it's got kind of a interesting shape.

112

00:19:13.950 --> 00:19:17.370

Jennifer Andrews: But that led us to think that maybe what we're seeing is

113

00:19:18.510 --> 00:19:29.940

Jennifer Andrews: The same phenomenon but from a different viewing angle so if if dust and the CSM or is around the star sort of in a disc or or Taurus.

114

00:19:30.690 --> 00:19:43.050

Jennifer Andrews: And we happen to look at it. Paul on edge on, it'll be bright in both the optical and the infrared if we happen to view this object from edge on it would be obscured and the optical but very bright and the IR

115

00:19:43.590 --> 00:19:54.090

Jennifer Andrews: So you could have a bright optical and I are progenitor if you just happen to be looking, you know, down the pole this object and

116

00:19:54.360 --> 00:20:09.210

Jennifer Andrews: To sort of summarize that the different viewing angles. If you're looking through different columns of the same asymmetric CSM you can have it can look like you have to observational classes, but they're really the same phenomenon just coming from

117

00:20:10.230 --> 00:20:22.530

Jennifer Andrews: The viewing angle that you are are sort of looking at so if you assume spiral cemetery, then you would think there were two different viewing class or two different types of

118

00:20:23.220 --> 00:20:32.430

Jennifer Andrews: Observational classes, but in fact, it could be just coming from one event. And so I think we do I still have time

119

00:20:33.930 --> 00:20:34.410

Jennifer Andrews: Yes.

120

00:20:36.030 --> 00:20:36.720

Jennifer Andrews: So,

121

00:20:36.960 --> 00:20:47.040

Jennifer Andrews: I'm to what is at 2019 Carell so it could be a bunch of things, these are the different sort of classes and

122

00:20:47.520 --> 00:20:55.470

Jennifer Andrews: We think we don't know if it or survived the bet the event. So that's sort of the big thing. So of course we need later time to see your large telescope

123

00:20:55.800 --> 00:21:14.130

Jennifer Andrews: Observations to see if it's there, particularly in the blue because if it is an LB be eruption or a merger of some sort. It may have gotten harder but fainter in the red band. So we need some UV or you band images to see

124

00:21:15.630 --> 00:21:28.800

Jennifer Andrews: So we aren't exactly sure what it is, but we think it is a map. We know it is a massive blue star that mimics a 2008 us like event. And the reason sort of to tie this all together.

125

00:21:30.390 --> 00:21:38.880

Jennifer Andrews: Because I can't, like I said, not talk about it a car is I'm going to skip through these. I was very ambitious is that recently.

126

00:21:39.480 --> 00:21:58.800

Jennifer Andrews: Nathan and I with a bunch of collaborators have a paper out using the light echoes from a two car where we make a conjecture that it can possibly come from a merger scenario so down the bottom corner is sort of the video of of what we think one possibility could be

127

00:21:59.910 --> 00:22:06.420

Jennifer Andrews: Words, a two phase merger scenario and and that it started out starts out as a tertiary

128

00:22:08.160 --> 00:22:25.200

Jennifer Andrews: And then there's a lot of mass transfer there's merging. There's a miraculous nebulous Oculus nebula there and that basically what happens is there's the lot of CSM interaction that's causing sort of the second bright part of the sustained peak.

129

00:22:27.000 --> 00:22:28.620

Jennifer Andrews: And this can be sort of

130

00:22:29.640 --> 00:22:34.740

Jennifer Andrews: Mapped by our light echo spectra. So to sort of

131

00:22:36.120 --> 00:22:45.360

Jennifer Andrews: summarize everything can be a massive blue have a massive blue progenitor if you look hard enough. So it seems like all three observational classes.

132

00:22:45.990 --> 00:23:06.750

Jennifer Andrews: Can have these massive blue stars, or at least we're finding evidence of it and that we think that another LB eruption superhero imposter eruption could be explained by a merger as well. So I will. I'm going to leave it on the video and leave it there.

133

00:23:13.860 --> 00:23:14.250

Ana Bonaca: Yeah.

134

00:23:16.890 --> 00:23:21.180

Ana Bonaca: It was great. Thanks. Also, yeah. Thanks for playing good movie. It's very

135

00:23:21.840 --> 00:23:22.560

Ana Bonaca: A lot of fun.

136

00:23:23.550 --> 00:23:25.350

Jennifer Andrews: Yeah, exactly. Yeah.

137

00:23:25.470 --> 00:23:26.880

Ana Bonaca: I'm glad I got it.

138

00:23:27.930 --> 00:23:37.680

Ana Bonaca: But that's a, that's a pretty neat idea of like all of these being same class of optic just seeing different viewing angles.

139

00:23:37.860 --> 00:23:38.310

Jennifer Andrews: Right, so

140

00:23:39.870 --> 00:23:50.460

Jennifer Andrews: It's probably not that bad is exactly what's happening. They're all for the exact same, but we can think about that, you know, maybe it isn't. There are other you know ways to explain it. Yeah.

141

00:23:51.570 --> 00:23:53.250

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, but it's, uh, yeah, definitely.

142

00:23:54.540 --> 00:24:00.210

Ana Bonaca: It's nice having like tying things together a physical picture. And so I just wondering

143

00:24:01.740 --> 00:24:08.550

Ana Bonaca: Can we are dear predictions of sort of what the covering fraction of this circumstance or

144

00:24:09.240 --> 00:24:28.050

Ana Bonaca: Dust is and and does that sort of kind of back of the envelope calculation workouts with the sort of number of these events we have in different categories. So we have an expectation of how many we should be seeing at which viewing angle. And if the numbers work out roughly

145

00:24:29.040 --> 00:24:34.740

Jennifer Andrews: Yeah, so, um, it's, it's possible. The problem, of course, is we are you know

146

00:24:36.270 --> 00:24:39.540

Jennifer Andrews: Our sample is limited and a lot of them are galactic

147

00:24:41.280 --> 00:24:54.510

Jennifer Andrews: But if you do look at massive stars. A lot of them. Most of them are in some sort of multiple system and in instances where we can actually map or look around.

148

00:24:55.620 --> 00:25:01.950

Jennifer Andrews: Especially the high mass, mass all stars. It does often seem that the

149

00:25:03.180 --> 00:25:17.520

Jennifer Andrews: That the circumpolar material is it's some sort of asymmetric, whether it's by local whether it's you know CSM or disc or tourists. So it's definitely it fits. I haven't done the numbers yet to see

150

00:25:19.050 --> 00:25:31.080

Jennifer Andrews: What is happening and the other side is that we're still observing, especially the group out of Ohio State looking at those 2008 types of objects to make sure that they are completely gone.

151

00:25:31.890 --> 00:25:41.040

Jennifer Andrews: And an interesting thing is they do seem terminal. There doesn't seem to be anything left there but also

152

00:25:42.540 --> 00:25:58.740

Jennifer Andrews: There is a ton of dust right so you can hide a lot there as well. They that does seem sort of consistent that they are that those were terminal events which, you know, maybe there's just two different ways you can get the same observational characteristic

153

00:25:59.760 --> 00:26:05.370

Jennifer Andrews: But of course it could also just be way more dust than we have in the object that we observed. Yeah.

154

00:26:06.990 --> 00:26:17.700

Ana Bonaca: So yeah, it sounds like it would be really helpful to have like larger samples, as always, and Morgan had a question sort of along those lines of

155

00:26:18.780 --> 00:26:25.890

Ana Bonaca: If LSD will be useful in district guard where the depth and cadence will be sufficient for

156

00:26:27.510 --> 00:26:35.160

Ana Bonaca: Nearby transit is like the 18 2019 k r l that you, you talked about here.

157

00:26:36.150 --> 00:26:42.210

Jennifer Andrews: Yeah, I think so. Especially, you know, you'll be able to at least with the light curves. Throw them up on the

158

00:26:43.440 --> 00:26:47.250

Jennifer Andrews: On that transit on that map and sort of see where they fall

159

00:26:48.330 --> 00:27:01.680

Jennifer Andrews: Yeah, so I think LSC it's going to be, you know, super useful in that now whether how you, it's going to be mined out to then go and look for progenitors and map, you know what you can find. Based on the light curves.

160

00:27:02.700 --> 00:27:08.250

Jennifer Andrews: You know that's that's what everyone's figuring out right now, right, like how to find the best juiciest transients

161

00:27:08.940 --> 00:27:22.410

Jennifer Andrews: But yeah, I think it'll be super helpful and will probably really fill in this whole, this whole map here and find all sorts of very weird stuff. But yes, I think number wise, it's really going to help us.

162

00:27:24.240 --> 00:27:37.470

Jennifer Andrews: Now, we won't have Spitzer, but hopefully with, I don't know exactly where we're going to be with AWS t and LSC overlap at all. But, you know, used in tandem it possible, those would be that would be very useful for this thing. Yeah.

163

00:27:39.660 --> 00:27:41.460

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, that's very exciting.

164

00:27:42.840 --> 00:27:44.520

Ana Bonaca: Guess. One other question.

165

00:27:44.550 --> 00:27:45.840

Ana Bonaca: Morgan had was

166

00:27:46.920 --> 00:27:52.080

Ana Bonaca: About the red message progenitors what kind of transcends those with previews.

167

00:27:52.950 --> 00:27:56.250

Ana Bonaca: Which one, sorry, the massive red stars.

168

00:27:57.240 --> 00:27:58.860

Jennifer Andrews: Oh, so the massive red stars.

169

00:28:00.570 --> 00:28:01.620

Jennifer Andrews: Right, so

170

00:28:02.940 --> 00:28:05.220

Jennifer Andrews: Usually our massive red stars are core clap super

171

00:28:08.610 --> 00:28:09.180

Jennifer Andrews: Those are

172

00:28:09.300 --> 00:28:25.110

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Totally fair with the idea that like maybe if all of these kind of like blue. And blue ish progenitors seem to produce this variety of transients is there may be a variety of transients coming from red or progenitors also

173

00:28:25.770 --> 00:28:27.870

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Right. What, where do those show up.

174

00:28:27.900 --> 00:28:29.400

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: In my face face.

175

00:28:30.210 --> 00:28:42.750

Jennifer Andrews: Those yeah like I said those are generally our car clubs supernovae. So the, I think the, the biggest thing here is that with the very blue stars. There are two channels that they're supermassive or

176

00:28:43.380 --> 00:28:58.320

Jennifer Andrews: Their normal low mass binary mergers that turn out to end up looking blue. So they already have a whole bunch of weird circumstance material and weird dynamics going on and that sort of makes it hard to distinguish between

177

00:28:59.880 --> 00:29:08.100

Jennifer Andrews: I guess cause and effects if if the LED is caused by a merger or if it's caused by its own massive bleakness, I guess.

178

00:29:09.180 --> 00:29:28.200

Jennifer Andrews: If that helps. And generally, the red ones. I guess this technically a super ATP star would be quite read and then that's going to be the, the other end 2008 as like electron capture supernovae. So, but I think in the end if you're read you end up being terminal.

179

00:29:29.820 --> 00:29:32.430

Jennifer Andrews: Your blue, you have a chance of reviving yourself.

180

00:29:34.020 --> 00:29:37.770

Ana Bonaca: So just ended on on kind of a very

181

00:29:38.910 --> 00:29:51.690

Ana Bonaca: early universe note and hopefully future with AWS, see. So these are all method stars and it will be luminous and hopefully very abundant in the early universe and you showed us that these

182

00:29:52.560 --> 00:30:05.130

Ana Bonaca: local variables like our sustain that like these high luminosity for a long time. So presumably they will have a huge impact on their early like those early galaxy. So

183

00:30:06.690 --> 00:30:13.350

Ana Bonaca: They expect like this. Are they will they be detectable like a cruise ships or whatever, they're like 10 or so.

184

00:30:13.380 --> 00:30:26.820

Jennifer Andrews: Yeah. Yeah, I believe so. Yeah. I mean, they're and they're quite dusty they've lost a lot of mass, mass moves or the moves away cools off when we see it in the IR so yeah beads are quite big.

185

00:30:27.900 --> 00:30:41.010

Jennifer Andrews: I am ization and dust producing things so pretty sure we will see them to USD. I'm not a Heidi person. So I'm not exactly sure how far back. We can see them, but

186

00:30:42.060 --> 00:30:50.550

Jennifer Andrews: Yes, of course, massive stars have a lot of they may be smaller number, but they are mighty and what they do to their surrounding see

187

00:30:53.280 --> 00:30:56.010

Abraham Loeb: It might be difficult to see one at a time, but

188

00:30:58.080 --> 00:31:01.200

Abraham Loeb: You know, a number of them noticeable effect.

189

00:31:02.370 --> 00:31:02.880

Abraham Loeb: With redshift.

190

00:31:05.340 --> 00:31:14.670

Ana Bonaca: Yeah, so I think that's really important that they have this more physical pictures like channels deriving so that we can interpret those observations.

191

00:31:16.260 --> 00:31:18.270

Ana Bonaca: Very exciting stuff. Thank you so much.

192

00:31:20.910 --> 00:31:21.900

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Wonderful. Thank you.

193

00:31:26.130 --> 00:31:38.700

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Let's continue on to our second speaker Diana Powell, who is completing her PhD at UC Santa Cruz. She's also a Ford Foundation dissertation year fellow this year.

194

00:31:40.200 --> 00:31:48.780

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And then as an expert really um I think how the chemistry and in the title micro physics of

195

00:31:49.650 --> 00:32:07.710

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: These environments around other stars, whether that's in production planetary atmospheres or protoplanetary discs really shaped what we observe. When we look at them and we're really excited to have you today and we're jealous of your background picture of Santa Cruz.

196

00:32:09.120 --> 00:32:10.830

Diana Powell: So I put it there. I bet you

197

00:32:13.230 --> 00:32:14.280

Diana Powell: Awesome. Thanks, Morgan.

198

00:32:14.820 --> 00:32:18.630

Diana Powell: So yeah, I'm Diana Powell and I'm a graduate student at UC Santa Cruz.

199

00:32:19.140 --> 00:32:28.350

Diana Powell: Santa Cruz finally looks like this picture again. So I thought I'd share it, and today I'm really excited to talk with you all about protoplanetary disks and sub solar atmospheres.

200

00:32:29.550 --> 00:32:35.760

Diana Powell: And in particular, I will be focusing on insights that we can gain from understanding these objects from the perspective of micro physics.

201

00:32:36.870 --> 00:32:44.280

Diana Powell: And so, for the purposes of this talk, micro physics will refer to the physics governing the evolution of small particles and the presence of gas.

202

00:32:50.970 --> 00:32:57.090

Diana Powell: So the key question behind all of my research is what is the origin evolution in nature of planets.

203

00:32:59.040 --> 00:33:02.700

Diana Powell: And so the picture of planet formation that has emerged looks more or less like this.

204

00:33:03.870 --> 00:33:14.940

Diana Powell: So planets form and protoplanetary disks, either from the accretion of solids and gas or the gravitational collapse of material so protoplanetary disks constitute the building blocks of planetary systems.

205

00:33:16.050 --> 00:33:28.830

Diana Powell: And then once the protoplanetary disk dissipates a young planetary system remains and then as time progresses, the planetary system will evolve planets will find relatively stable orbits and the planetary atmospheres will also evolve.

206

00:33:30.690 --> 00:33:32.460

Diana Powell: Okay, so this is the general picture.

207

00:33:33.660 --> 00:33:37.290

Diana Powell: But what I really want to know is empirically. What is the answer to this question.

208

00:33:40.650 --> 00:33:45.960

Diana Powell: And we're all very lucky to be live at a time where we can actually observe each of these stages of planetary evolution.

209

00:33:47.040 --> 00:33:57.420

Diana Powell: So we can observe protoplanetary discs undergoing at the planet formation, like the PDS 70 system, shown here in the top right where you can see these two points that are thought to be giant planets undergoing accretion.

210

00:33:59.790 --> 00:34:05.520

Diana Powell: We can also observe young planetary systems directly, like the HR 8799 system shown in the bottom left.

211

00:34:06.900 --> 00:34:10.860

Diana Powell: And finally, we now have observations over 4000 evolved exoplanets.

212

00:34:14.940 --> 00:34:21.150

Diana Powell: So with all this data. This is really be era to start actively connecting planet formation to planetary characterization

213

00:34:22.320 --> 00:34:29.940

Diana Powell: And in particular, a promising Avenue forward is to connect the properties of exoplanet atmospheres, the properties of protoplanetary discs.

214

00:34:34.380 --> 00:34:41.490

Diana Powell: So when we look at observations of protoplanetary discs, we're looking at smaller screens and some different trace gas species.

215

00:34:42.720 --> 00:34:49.260

Diana Powell: And these recent observations are really spectacularly really resolved so they're gorgeous and there's lots of information.

216

00:34:49.830 --> 00:34:58.170

Diana Powell: But there are also often limited and particular that's due to a lack of direct probes of the primary disk properties such as the total mass in the system.

217

00:34:58.710 --> 00:35:07.050

Diana Powell: And then some of the detailed properties of the solid and gashes mass constituents. And so this is particularly in the mid plane, the planet forming regions of the disk.

218

00:35:09.390 --> 00:35:23.220

Diana Powell: And then when we look at detailed observations of young and evolved planetary systems were often looking at their atmospheres and similarly these observations are hindered by a passive sources such as clouds and he's is that can obscure planetary properties.

219

00:35:27.030 --> 00:35:35.400

Diana Powell: So to make the connection between protoplanetary disks and planetary atmospheres. We need better physical models to interpret current and upcoming observations.

220

00:35:36.600 --> 00:35:41.070

Diana Powell: And I will argue in this talk that maker physics will be really important player and making this connection.

221

00:35:42.120 --> 00:35:48.660

Diana Powell: And that make your physics may well be key to to really understanding the origin evolution and nature of planets.

222

00:35:52.410 --> 00:35:59.250

Diana Powell: Okay. So in this talk, I'll cover a lot of ground, but I'll start by talking about subsequent atmospheres, with a focus on clouds.

223

00:36:00.210 --> 00:36:14.040

Diana Powell: And then I'll move on to discussing the total mass and protoplanetary disks. And finally I'll spend them the most time in detail, bringing the previous two topics together through discussion of the evolution of carbon monoxide and protoplanetary this

224

00:36:17.580 --> 00:36:19.620

Diana Powell: Okay, so let's talk about clouds.

225

00:36:23.580 --> 00:36:27.750

Diana Powell: So we all have some intuition about clouds from our familiarity with clouds on Earth.

226

00:36:29.580 --> 00:36:35.310

Diana Powell: The clouds are important beyond her and are, in fact, the dominant capacity source and most planetary atmosphere.

227

00:36:37.290 --> 00:36:41.310

Diana Powell: Clouds important tracers of atmospheric physics, such as mixing and transport.

228

00:36:42.900 --> 00:36:46.740

Diana Powell: Clouds regulate the planetary climate by regulating energy to transfer and chemistry.

229

00:36:48.210 --> 00:36:51.450

Diana Powell: And clouds must be understood when determining atmospheric abundances

230

00:36:53.700 --> 00:37:02.940

Diana Powell: Also, often the clouds and an atmosphere are the only thing that we see. So, it is therefore an absolute necessity that we understand clouds when interpreting planetary atmospheres.

231

00:37:07.440 --> 00:37:16.470

Diana Powell: Okay, so getting this. There's a lot that we can learn about planetary atmospheres through their studies of clouds. So it makes sense to understand how clouds form and evolved from first principles.

232

00:37:17.940 --> 00:37:23.430

Diana Powell: So on the right here I'm showing the example of someone might do calculations of cloud properties and planetary atmospheres.

233

00:37:24.480 --> 00:37:29.400

Diana Powell: So I'm plotting the mass into the cloud particles as a function of particle size and atmospheric pressure.

234

00:37:30.690 --> 00:37:33.780

Diana Powell: And here, each of the different colors indicate the different cloud species.

235

00:37:35.010 --> 00:37:48.000

Diana Powell: And all the properties of the cloud particles, shown here, such as the particle size distributions and vertical extensive the atmosphere are calculated from first principles. Their consideration of the micro physical processes that I've shown here on the left.

236

00:37:55.050 --> 00:38:01.620

Diana Powell: So by studying clouds from first principles. I can demonstrate how the microphysical properties of clouds compare to atmosphere observations.

237

00:38:03.330 --> 00:38:12.930

Diana Powell: On the top right. I'm showing the impact of the cloud particle size distribution on the observed spectra exoplanet. And so in this case I'm looking at our model. Hot Jupiter.

238

00:38:14.190 --> 00:38:20.730

Diana Powell: And so in black. I'm showing a model transmission spectra for a Hot Jupiter with our fully resolved cloud particle size distribution.

239

00:38:22.290 --> 00:38:31.140

Diana Powell: And then on the same planet and blue I showing the transition spectra. If we take an average cloud particle size for each cloud species and conserve the total cloud mass

240

00:38:32.910 --> 00:38:44.520

Diana Powell: And as you can see, but the amplitude and the shape of the transmission spectra changes between these two cases really demonstrating that understanding the cloud particle size distribution is important when interpreting serve spectra.

241

00:38:46.770 --> 00:38:52.170

Diana Powell: And by studying clouds. This way I also consider barriers to cloud formation beyond thermodynamics

242

00:38:53.490 --> 00:38:57.930

Diana Powell: And this allows me to predict which cloud species are likely to dominate the observed spectra.

243

00:38:59.550 --> 00:39:07.320

Diana Powell: So on the bottom right here in black. I'm showing a different model transmission sector for Hot Jupiter we're including capacity from all the cloud species that form.

244

00:39:08.520 --> 00:39:16.680

Diana Powell: And grey I'm showing what the cloud, what the transmission specter would look like without the clouds. So you can already see how much impact clouds have and shaping observations.

245

00:39:18.450 --> 00:39:24.360

Diana Powell: And then in the different colors. I'm showing what the spectrum would look like if we only consider capacity from a particular cloud species.

246

00:39:25.500 --> 00:39:31.170

Diana Powell: And so in this case Sylhet clouds dominate the atmosphere capacity across a broad range.

247

00:39:36.780 --> 00:39:43.680

Diana Powell: So in addition to impacting spectra clouds me also service probes, the planetary properties such as the interior thermal structure.

248

00:39:45.480 --> 00:39:56.370

Diana Powell: So here I'm showing a mission capacities as a function of wavelength and atmospheric pressure for a planet with the same upper atmosphere structure. So temperature structure, but different internal thermal structures.

249

00:39:57.600 --> 00:40:01.410

Diana Powell: And here the dotted white line indicates that location. Will the clouds become opaque.

250

00:40:03.390 --> 00:40:08.880

Diana Powell: So for the high energy, high interview planet with the hotter interior clouds or pick as high as point one bar.

251

00:40:10.050 --> 00:40:15.990

Diana Powell: But for a cooler into your, your low entropy interior planet and the clouds are only a pic at around 10 bar.

252

00:40:17.580 --> 00:40:24.150

Diana Powell: So clouds. My best be able to service probes a planetary interiors, as they are sensitive to planetary properties across different skins.

253

00:40:28.890 --> 00:40:36.870

Diana Powell: And I've also shown the clouds are likely to enhanced observed atmosphere and homogeneity in certain cases and way that should be clearly visible with James

254

00:40:38.640 --> 00:40:53.880

Diana Powell: So here I'm showing to scale, when really I differences for Hot Jupiter in the case of clouds on the top and for cloud free atmosphere on the bottom. So you can look at these little to slices to see that that cloudy atmosphere has distinctly asymmetrical limb Radia

255

00:40:55.020 --> 00:41:09.300

Diana Powell: That is significantly if something's lens are significantly more and how much you need and homogeneous and and homogeneous and the

clear atmosphere case which also has limited symmetry, but this is just you temperature differences on this particular planet.

256

00:41:11.340 --> 00:41:17.340

Diana Powell: And so we've worked to develop a tool for probing this atmospheric homogeneity relatively cheaply using James lab.

257

00:41:22.140 --> 00:41:26.580

Diana Powell: And finally, micro physical clouds can be used to explain existing observations.

258

00:41:28.020 --> 00:41:37.140

Diana Powell: So here I'm showing the color manga to diagram for Brown doors with a relatively recently discovered sequence of very low gravity brown dwarfs highlighted here in yellow.

259

00:41:38.970 --> 00:41:48.810

Diana Powell: OK. So the model very low gravity so model very low gravity profiles with clear atmospheres lie in this region of the diagram, but we do not really observe any brown doors.

260

00:41:50.400 --> 00:42:05.190

Diana Powell: And if we model clouds in these atmospheres without metaphysics and the points like here, so they are much better than the clear cases I would indicate that clouds are shaping these observations, but here they start to become more clear at lower equilibrium temperatures

261

00:42:07.710 --> 00:42:11.670

Diana Powell: Then when we instead. Consider micro physical clouds those points like here.

262

00:42:12.960 --> 00:42:18.570

Diana Powell: And we can see a distinct shift towards the red region of the diagram, particularly for the cooler objects.

263

00:42:19.530 --> 00:42:30.810

Diana Powell: And something that is particularly encouraging about the micro physical results here as at the points. Follow the streets slope of the sequence down to the brightest regions where we are currently different objects, instead of carving off to the blue.

264

00:42:33.480 --> 00:42:39.810

Diana Powell: And so these results demonstrate that been scheme micro physical clouds naturally reproduce the very low gravity sequence of brown dwarfs.

265

00:42:45.090 --> 00:42:58.650

Diana Powell: Okay, so we discussed the importance of understanding clouds and substitute atmosphere which is important and constraining of all planets. And now for the remainder of this talk. Let's turn our attention to protoplanetary disks. So the locations of planet formation.

266

00:43:00.330 --> 00:43:05.400

Diana Powell: And I will start by talking about the interesting question of how much total mass is present in existence.

267

00:43:09.960 --> 00:43:18.000

Diana Powell: Okay. So the most common tracers of protoplanetary this properties are dust or trace gases. And so our focus on SEO gas.

268

00:43:19.110 --> 00:43:21.270

Diana Powell: And these are relatively easy to observe

269

00:43:23.040 --> 00:43:27.900

Diana Powell: But due to a lack of the direct probe of the main mass constituent which is h2 gas.

270

00:43:28.950 --> 00:43:32.700

Diana Powell: Previous measures of this mass have relied on some trees or to HDL ratio.

271

00:43:34.680 --> 00:43:45.810

Diana Powell: But we know that processes such as brain growth and drift. So when these dust particles grow and move aerodynamically the should alter the desk gas ratio from the typical is in value.

272

00:43:47.640 --> 00:43:56.670

Diana Powell: And several lines of evidence also suggests that gas they co. So, particularly in the commonly observed upper declares is depleted and discs.

273

00:43:58.080 --> 00:43:59.250

Diana Powell: So this begs the question,

274

00:44:01.890 --> 00:44:06.450

Diana Powell: How can you measure the total mass without an assumed tracer to HDL ratio.

275

00:44:08.700 --> 00:44:11.370

Diana Powell: And also what is happening to all of the CEO.

276

00:44:16.470 --> 00:44:22.500

Diana Powell: And so we began addressing these questions by considering recent multi wavelength observations of discs in the millimeter

277

00:44:23.940 --> 00:44:29.820

Diana Powell: So here I'm showing a visualization of observations put together by Sean Andrews as a disc Tw Hydra

278

00:44:31.050 --> 00:44:36.030

Diana Powell: So here these are all images of the same disk where it appears smaller and radius at longer wavelength.

279

00:44:37.860 --> 00:44:46.470

Diana Powell: And to introduce some terminology I refer to the maximum radius and with our mission at a particular wavelength, the cuts off as a desk line.

280

00:44:47.760 --> 00:44:52.050

Diana Powell: And, as others have suggested these observations, maybe signatures of particle drift.

281

00:44:56.460 --> 00:45:04.110

Diana Powell: So particles drift and desks, because the gas kills an outward pressure gradient. So this cause that there'd be a drag on the particles.

282

00:45:05.190 --> 00:45:09.930

Diana Powell: The straggler moves the angular momentum from the particles and causes them to inspire all towards their health star.

283

00:45:11.760 --> 00:45:20.970

Diana Powell: And so we can use these observations of particle drift to trace the aerodynamic properties of the observed particles and determine the total gas mask present and systems.

284

00:45:22.620 --> 00:45:33.330

Diana Powell: So we can do this. Following the simple equation shown here on the right. If we know several key quantities. So in particular, if we know the maximum radius and which particles of a given size are present.

285

00:45:34.800 --> 00:45:44.880

Diana Powell: And luckily, we can infer the particle size. If the observed wavelength, the corresponds to the primary particle size contributing to the observed emission, particularly at the outer edge.

286

00:45:46.260 --> 00:45:52.200

Diana Powell: And we can robustly measure the disk size to determine the radius in which these particles are the massively sized screens.

287

00:45:54.270 --> 00:46:00.900

Diana Powell: And this method is really nice because it allows us to derive the disk surface density without assuming a tracer to HP ratio.

288

00:46:01.800 --> 00:46:11.490

Diana Powell: And that's because we only care about the how fast these particle drifts these particles stripped so they're aerodynamic properties which depends on their size and not how many particles or press

289

00:46:16.710 --> 00:46:25.530

Diana Powell: So in my recent paper. We've applied this model to seven protoplanetary discs with previous millimeter observations that so decreasing disk real extent with wavelength.

290

00:46:26.730 --> 00:46:30.840

Diana Powell: And here I'm showing some of the observations for three out of the seven discs in our sample.

291

00:46:35.850 --> 00:46:42.360

Diana Powell: And so we can do a lot with this modeling. But one of the key things that we found is that this masses are larger than we initially expected

292

00:46:43.830 --> 00:46:53.880

Diana Powell: So here on the left. I'm showing total gashes surface densities and black for to have the discs in my sample. So here these black lines are the dust line derived service entities.

293

00:46:55.320 --> 00:47:01.320

Diana Powell: And I'm comparing the surface density to previous trees are derived service densities and the minimal muscle and IP law.

294

00:47:03.540 --> 00:47:12.150

Diana Powell: So the disks and our sample are nine to 27% of their hosts stellar masses. And so this is significantly more massive than the minimum muscle or nebula.

295

00:47:13.950 --> 00:47:22.170

Diana Powell: These discs are also to to 15 times more massive than estimates from optically then Dustin mission, assuming an ASM dusty gas ratio.

296

00:47:24.090 --> 00:47:24.510

Diana Powell: So,

297

00:47:24.600 --> 00:47:26.520

Diana Powell: Also for the leaders in our sample.

298

00:47:26.880 --> 00:47:33.540

Diana Powell: With results. Your mission our estimates are 340 and 115 times more massive than previously published

299

00:47:34.800 --> 00:47:40.800

Diana Powell: So we find that CEOs depleted from expected values. And that's your depletion. It's not uniform across different desks.

300

00:47:42.090 --> 00:47:55.680

Diana Powell: So these results indicate that dust as a more robust tracer of total mass because we uncover a reduced gas ratio that is consistent across our sample, while the CO2 he ratio berries more strongly and I'll come back to this in just a moment.

301

00:47:59.010 --> 00:48:05.010

Diana Powell: Okay, so this work led me to this new picture of desks or they may be more massive than previously, appreciate it.

302

00:48:06.030 --> 00:48:11.700

Diana Powell: So in this picture of the total dust mask is about the same, but the total gas mask is an order of magnitude larger

303

00:48:13.230 --> 00:48:19.140

Diana Powell: And this qualitative changes models of protoplanetary discs has significant implications for theories of Planet promotion.

304

00:48:19.590 --> 00:48:29.190

Diana Powell: And that's particularly true for any process or the disc mass or the amount of gas affects the evolution of solids any process that relies on those will be affected by this picture.

305

00:48:32.430 --> 00:48:42.300

Diana Powell: And so in my remaining time I'll turn my attention to discussing it CEO and discs. So we've discussed the total mass. But really what's happening to all the CEO.

306

00:48:43.470 --> 00:48:49.890

Diana Powell: And we really want to know the answer to this question because SEO has been used as a tracer for a broad range of fundamental this properties.

307

00:48:51.330 --> 00:48:58.110

Diana Powell: And answer this question, I'll combine the two methods that I use so far in this talk. So modeling clouds and modeling desks.

308

00:49:01.560 --> 00:49:07.170

Diana Powell: I'll just quickly set back and say that it's not just my modeling that indicates that SEO is depleted in discs.

309

00:49:08.610 --> 00:49:17.940

Diana Powell: So on the left here I'm showing the CEO abundance and the disclosure you Hydra as a function of radius as compared to the abundance that we would expect from observations of the interstellar medium.

310

00:49:19.470 --> 00:49:22.620

Diana Powell: So you can see that CO gas appears to be significantly depleted.

311

00:49:24.060 --> 00:49:26.580

Diana Powell: And the same is true if we look at large samples of desks.

312

00:49:27.600 --> 00:49:36.840

Diana Powell: So here I'm showing an almost survey at this and lupus for the expense several orders of magnitude and dust mass, but have fairly constant gas masses estimated from SEO.

313

00:49:38.370 --> 00:49:44.910

Diana Powell: So in short, we observed, much less the own discs than we would expect or then would be predicted using previous models.

314

00:49:48.270 --> 00:49:58.320

Diana Powell: So to address this problem. I'll couple my model for protoplanetary this properties with an adaptive version of the models that I used to study cloud formation and protocol in in planetary atmospheres.

315

00:49:59.910 --> 00:50:03.810

Diana Powell: And in this context I modeling the formation of ice on dust disks.

316

00:50:07.530 --> 00:50:15.030

Diana Powell: And what turns out to be particularly important in this modeling is that the nuclear fission and growth processes in the scheme include the Kelvin effect.

317

00:50:16.500 --> 00:50:24.270

Diana Powell: So the Kelvin effect is a surface tension effect that quantifies the stability of particles, they're considering the strength of the molecular surface bonds.

318

00:50:25.830 --> 00:50:35.520

Diana Powell: And I like to think about this in terms of geometry when ice molecule. Honestly, I have a small grand will not be able to molecular Lee bond to as many neighbors as it would on a large particle

319

00:50:37.350 --> 00:50:41.760

Diana Powell: And so in short the calming effect causes large particles nuclear and grow more easily.

320

00:50:45.900 --> 00:50:51.450

Diana Powell: So the particle sizes are important here because they regulate the level of depletion of gashes SEO.

321

00:50:53.580 --> 00:51:02.280

Diana Powell: So if we approximate a disc temperature structure as shown here on the left where the disc is ice thermal until it reaches a height, where the surface layer heating as efficient.

322

00:51:03.480 --> 00:51:06.750

Diana Powell: And the mid lane, the disc is called enough for us to form under screens.

323

00:51:08.070 --> 00:51:10.350

Diana Powell: So there will be a region and the desk. Where is a stable.

324

00:51:11.580 --> 00:51:13.560

Diana Powell: And then a region where evaporation could occur.

325

00:51:15.510 --> 00:51:24.510

Diana Powell: So if the ice particles that form in the mid plan are small, then it could be laughed at the upper regions and evaporate such that CEO gas is not significantly depleted.

326

00:51:26.400 --> 00:51:31.440

Diana Powell: On the other hand, if the ice particularly large if you deplete the CEO gas in the mid plane.

327

00:51:32.490 --> 00:51:34.290

Diana Powell: And so that's what I'm showing here on the right.

328

00:51:35.490 --> 00:51:45.870

Diana Powell: So once the initial abundance of SEO gas strong and solid black is depleted in the mid plane I shown in gray. There's a concentration gradient. So this gray line has probably gradient

329

00:51:46.620 --> 00:51:54.570

Diana Powell: Which is then smooths by vertical diffusion. And so this will ultimately lead to a depletion of CEO and the observed upper levels as shown in solid red

330

00:51:55.770 --> 00:52:01.800

Diana Powell: And this is the so called called finger effect which can lead to a large depletion of CO gas and the apprentice.

331

00:52:06.150 --> 00:52:09.930

Diana Powell: And so the particles and gas in the system of all freely as well as vertically

332

00:52:10.830 --> 00:52:26.070

Diana Powell: And so early times gas is depleted fastest in the desk just a bit exterior to the McLean I slide where the disc is called and vertical desta fusion is relatively fast with time CEO gas is increasingly depleted in the outer disk as vertical fusion has time to operate.

333

00:52:27.240 --> 00:52:35.670

Diana Powell: And then enter this interior to them and play nice slide is particles drift in words, until they reach a warm enough region of interest or they released their volatiles content.

334

00:52:37.140 --> 00:52:42.270

Diana Powell: And this causes there to be an increased abundance of SEO gas in the inner disk that can then be created onto the host star.

335

00:52:44.730 --> 00:52:48.360

Diana Powell: Okay, the revenue through one pretty picture before I show you some some final results.

336

00:52:50.130 --> 00:52:53.130

Diana Powell: OK, so I'll quickly walk you through the evolution of SEO and discs.

337

00:52:54.180 --> 00:53:01.740

Diana Powell: An outer disk co gases continually mix down towards the dismiss PLANE, WE'RE efficient ice permission occurs on large particles.

338

00:53:03.300 --> 00:53:06.270

Diana Powell: These large particles drift inwards towards their hosts stars.

339

00:53:07.500 --> 00:53:16.440

Diana Powell: Once the size particles drift to the hotter regions of the disk. They released their volatiles to back into the guest is so interesting cycling and material happens around the Iceland.

340

00:53:17.850 --> 00:53:23.400

Diana Powell: And then there's the enhanced abundance of SEO gas and enter disk that is accreted onto the hosts are over time.

341

00:53:26.820 --> 00:53:34.440

Diana Powell: So we find that agreement between our modeling results and observations. So I'm showing here. The four discs that we model and plots be three.

342

00:53:36.420 --> 00:53:43.620

Diana Powell: And and I'm modeling, we find that this process depends strongly on the fusion, which contributes to the observed periods of CO depletion and different discs.

343

00:53:44.850 --> 00:53:52.740

Diana Powell: Some large flat. Here you can see the amount of absurd depletion and Autodesk depends on the amount of diffusing that has operated in the lifetime of these discs.

344

00:53:54.240 --> 00:54:07.830

Diana Powell: And I will note that this process is also applicable to other volatiles and discs. So the micro physical processes information both regulates the observed abundances of CO gas and is crucial in determining the radio composition of protoplanetary this

345

00:54:10.380 --> 00:54:10.740

Okay.

346

00:54:12.120 --> 00:54:19.500

Diana Powell: So I think my time is up. So I'll conclude by saying that I've talked about understanding some stellar atmospheres in cloud metaphysics.

347

00:54:20.130 --> 00:54:30.990

Diana Powell: And I discussed uncovering fundamental properties of protoplanetary disks using particle aerodynamics and isolation and I hope I've shown you convince you of the following up losing concluding points. Thank you so much.

348

00:54:38.040 --> 00:54:38.700

Ana Bonaca: Wonderful.

349

00:54:40.170 --> 00:54:41.910

Diana Powell: Really like the clapping track what's next.

350

00:54:42.990 --> 00:54:47.310

Ana Bonaca: I have to say this as I first started at the exoplanet

351

00:54:48.360 --> 00:54:55.590

Ana Bonaca: Presentation logs. I thought it was like really nice do okay yeah show our appreciation. Okay.

352

00:54:55.620 --> 00:54:58.710

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Thank you so much for us. We get to potentially a sitcom right

353

00:55:03.720 --> 00:55:04.650

Diana Powell: To left track for that.

354

00:55:08.400 --> 00:55:09.330

Ana Bonaca: In post processing.

355

00:55:11.880 --> 00:55:13.590

Ana Bonaca: I have so many questions.

356

00:55:15.240 --> 00:55:17.580

Ana Bonaca: Down as as you were going along, but maybe

357

00:55:18.930 --> 00:55:33.420

Ana Bonaca: And by the way, I love the illustrations and you can maybe like just the two, you mentioned that this transition between, like, four, and five. And on this slide on the ice slider. There is some interesting co cycling happening there. So, just wondering

358

00:55:35.160 --> 00:55:46.140

Ana Bonaca: I how important that that transition is and how, how can we go forward to understanding it both I guess operationally and also on the theory side.

359

00:55:47.370 --> 00:55:52.560

Diana Powell: Yeah, so this isn't this wasn't a main focus of this work, although we do find out what other people have found is that

360

00:55:52.800 --> 00:55:58.290

Diana Powell: We confirm what other people have found with similar modeling where when these ice particles drift in past the ice line.

361

00:55:58.530 --> 00:56:07.320

Diana Powell: They released their gas some of that gases then immediately cycled back and actually happens more efficiently in our modeling, because we have this depleted gas exterior the ice line.

362

00:56:08.220 --> 00:56:18.030

Diana Powell: That's quite significantly depleted. Until then, it goes back across Iceland form is more ice. Some of those ice particles drift in but you end up with like that enhancement of ice just exterior to baseline.

363

00:56:18.510 --> 00:56:24.990

Diana Powell: And so people have proposed that that could be really important for forming planets. So people think that's a nice location, the forum.

364

00:56:25.950 --> 00:56:38.730

Diana Powell: giant planets extra ice around and then also observational it. So this is so far not enough, David. David model for the substructure. We see index, but it has been proposed that isolates could lead to the substructure that you see.

365

00:56:39.210 --> 00:56:46.410

Diana Powell: Although I think that's a bit out of favor right now people are mostly thinking minutes but it's good to help other alternatives. Yes.

366

00:56:46.800 --> 00:56:48.450

Ana Bonaca: It's good vacation open mind and

367

00:56:48.960 --> 00:56:49.590

Ana Bonaca: Sounds like

368

00:56:49.650 --> 00:57:01.680

Ana Bonaca: Morgan has been thinking along the same line on this information and CEO depletion and he is wondering whether this can teach us about the turbulent our Christian properties and that this themselves.

369

00:57:02.430 --> 00:57:05.820

Diana Powell: Yeah, so something that's that's really nice. And there's modeling.

370

00:57:06.690 --> 00:57:15.390

Diana Powell: So right now I so basically the main free parameter that the key free free parameter. I have for all the systems is how much mixing is taking place.

371

00:57:15.840 --> 00:57:24.420

Diana Powell: So I printer is that to peaceably like an alpha, this model. So a period like bulk turbulent properties, but it's very sensitive to this parameter like

372

00:57:24.630 --> 00:57:34.380

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Is there vertical mixing because it seems like vertical mixing is important, but also the radial diffusion is there are they separate or are they linked by the same

373

00:57:35.430 --> 00:57:36.570

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Alpha kind of

374

00:57:37.140 --> 00:57:49.890

Diana Powell: Yeah. So you can imagine, and maybe like some process that can lead to an ISO tropic turbulence. So that's been proposed. I think for different hydrodynamic instabilities and our modeling we assume that they're both linked by the same alpha, OK.

375

00:57:51.030 --> 00:57:55.650

Diana Powell: So the same alpha operating in both directions. So it's kind of like a bulk how much mixing isn't these discs.

376

00:57:55.860 --> 00:57:59.160

Diana Powell: But what's nice is that that's every parameter that's the one thing I tune

377

00:57:59.340 --> 00:58:06.960

Diana Powell: And all these plots and for all the discs. They lie and the range. The Office that we get out are the kind of offers you could plausibly expect to see in discs.

378

00:58:07.350 --> 00:58:15.900

Diana Powell: So I don't get any crazy high alphas creasing low offers so it seems reasonable. So I do think with more data with more desks actually really with more

379

00:58:15.900 --> 00:58:23.850

Diana Powell: Desk. I would feel comfortable saying that this is a nice probe up the turbulent properties and then hopefully, it could be ruled out or confirmed with some future observations.

380

00:58:24.600 --> 00:58:34.470

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And there's the thought that that are, that is a I mean maybe this is the case in the modeling, but also sort of in general is to do we think that there should be

381

00:58:35.070 --> 00:58:48.270

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: An alpha that is constant within disk radius, such that, like the mass flux is preserved or or is that not the case in some of these discs because they have like maybe dead spots and stuff like that.

382

00:58:48.990 --> 00:58:51.570

Diana Powell: Yeah, I would say it's a very open question.

383

00:58:51.660 --> 00:58:54.480

Diana Powell: You could definitely imagine. So something that could be

384

00:58:55.350 --> 00:59:02.910

Diana Powell: I'm kind of nice. And this modeling. So right now we don't have great observations of the inner disk either optically thick or we don't have enough resolution, but

385

00:59:03.540 --> 00:59:10.530

Diana Powell: You could imagine if there's lots of depletion in the inner disk, the way that you would get that from this model, you have increased mixing in the interests compared to the outer disk.

386

00:59:10.830 --> 00:59:16.380

Diana Powell: There's some reasons to think that could be true, like maybe you're driving MRI and enter disk. You've dead zones in the outer disk.

387

00:59:17.040 --> 00:59:28.260

Diana Powell: Maybe you accrete by disk winds and that preferentially happens in the inner disk. So you could imagine there being quite a lot of structure to this alpha parameter which we kind of smooth over interesting how much kind of bulk mixing dvd

388

00:59:30.390 --> 00:59:31.440

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: That's really interesting.

389

00:59:33.360 --> 00:59:35.790

Ana Bonaca: So maybe the clothes are

390

00:59:36.930 --> 00:59:39.000

Ana Bonaca: On the topic of clouds.

391

00:59:40.650 --> 00:59:59.760

Ana Bonaca: As it was really nice to see all the different transmission spectra of clouds of different chemistries. And so as wondering like, are those can we will we be able to yeah actually determine the composition of clouds with Jay devil a steam editor you have holidays.

392

01:00:01.110 --> 01:00:02.970

Ana Bonaca: Nice predictions from your work.

393

01:00:04.110 --> 01:00:15.480

Diana Powell: Yeah, so I'm really excited about the prospects. So in particular, the kind of smoking gun for clouds are these broad absorption features and the infrared. You can see them in both of these plots here out past 10 microns.

394

01:00:16.950 --> 01:00:25.350

Diana Powell: So they're kind of complicated. So the broad, which makes them a little bit harder to detect and also they depend sensitively on the size distribution. So you can see that in the top spot here.

395

01:00:25.650 --> 01:00:33.180

Diana Powell: So you have basically the same cloud species, but the size distribution is different. And so the amplitude and shape of this looks different, but basically if you get a broad feature there.

396

01:00:33.570 --> 01:00:41.100

Diana Powell: Then you I think you're quite clear that's particles blocking. It's not something like high atmospheric molecular weight, which I didn't talk about I'm for giant planets.

397

01:00:41.760 --> 01:00:49.710

Diana Powell: Seems a little bit less likely. But if you do get like a nice like in the bottom part. You see this nice feature definitely like silicate clouds.

398

01:00:51.360 --> 01:00:52.110

Diana Powell: I think it's possible.

399

01:00:53.160 --> 01:01:00.300

Diana Powell: There's also prospects of using them to probe atmospheric variability. Like if clouds are going to climate cycles and raining out and you would expect that teacher

400

01:01:00.630 --> 01:01:09.420

Diana Powell: To maybe have significant amplitude variations which is also exciting. So yeah, I think what James up. There's lots of awesome prospects, particularly for giant planets, but maybe even for small ones.

401

01:01:11.160 --> 01:01:11.460

Diana Powell: Definitely

402

01:01:14.700 --> 01:01:16.530

Ana Bonaca: I can imagine that. Yeah, that that plays a

403

01:01:17.670 --> 01:01:18.750

Ana Bonaca: Huge role on

404

01:01:19.950 --> 01:01:22.020

Ana Bonaca: Your whole planets and ability and

405

01:01:24.060 --> 01:01:30.030

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: It's kind of amazing to start thinking about monitoring the weather on an exoplanet oh

406

01:01:30.930 --> 01:01:31.230

Diana Powell: You got

407

01:01:31.710 --> 01:01:33.000

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: A little bit late, right, but

408

01:01:35.580 --> 01:01:37.620

Diana Powell: That's true. A little, just a few years deludes

409

01:01:41.520 --> 01:01:48.990

Ana Bonaca: Thank you so much, you have more questions for I think both of you on our Slack channel, so please stick around.

410

01:01:50.070 --> 01:01:56.280

Ana Bonaca: Thank you all for joining us. A faithful. We'll see you next week. And thanks to our speakers again.

411

01:01:56.400 --> 01:01:59.340

Diana Powell: Thank you. Thank you for having us.

412

01:02:00.180 --> 01:02:01.050

Jennifer Andrews: For having me.

413

01:02:04.080 --> 01:02:04.950

Thanks for coming.