

WEBVTT

1

00:00:02.490 --> 00:00:08.910

Sarah Jeffreson: Okay wonderful well today we have the pleasure of having  
can bend Tilbury Ken is a professor at nyu.

2

00:00:08.910 --> 00:00:10.469

Sarah Jeffreson: And also.

3

00:00:10.830 --> 00:00:13.469

Sarah Jeffreson: sort of research scientist at that distance yeah.

4

00:00:14.700 --> 00:00:15.210

Sarah Jeffreson: and

5

00:00:16.410 --> 00:00:20.580

Sarah Jeffreson: You know I like a defining aspect of career, I think has  
been.

6

00:00:20.820 --> 00:00:31.920

Sarah Jeffreson: Looking first kind of the the traces maybe of all of the  
physics that sort of hiding potentially beyond the standard model in that  
sort of astrophysical.

7

00:00:33.360 --> 00:00:39.540

Sarah Jeffreson: universe, as we see it, so Ken thanks so much for for  
joining us.

8

00:00:41.040 --> 00:00:46.440

Ken Van Tilburg: Thank you for that introduction I think that's exactly  
right so.

9

00:00:47.460 --> 00:00:52.320

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah i'm trained as a particle physicist, but I try to  
use.

10

00:00:53.850 --> 00:01:13.380

Ken Van Tilburg: unusual systems, usually involving precision instruments  
or just precision observable to look for new new types of physics beyond  
the standard model so both in the laboratory and and astrophysical and  
cosmological settings so today I will tell you about an idea.

11

00:01:15.090 --> 00:01:18.240

Ken Van Tilburg: To look for weekly coupled particles.

12

00:01:18.690 --> 00:01:19.830

Ken Van Tilburg: Around stars.

13

00:01:20.520 --> 00:01:22.230

Sarah Jeffreson: So, again.

14

00:01:22.350 --> 00:01:23.520

Sarah Jeffreson: Because we're having a little.

15

00:01:23.520 --> 00:01:25.560

Sarah Jeffreson: bit sound trouble on our.

16

00:01:29.100 --> 00:01:29.670

Sarah Jeffreson: Try.

17

00:01:31.020 --> 00:01:31.890

Sarah Jeffreson: To the other.

18

00:01:38.610 --> 00:01:38.940

Sarah Jeffreson: PC.

19

00:01:50.550 --> 00:01:52.620

Ken Van Tilburg: it's possible it's the nyu network.

20

00:01:54.420 --> 00:01:56.280

Sarah Jeffreson: I think it's element work like.

21

00:01:56.910 --> 00:02:00.270

Ken Van Tilburg: This issue, some other things as well, fortunately.

22

00:02:00.720 --> 00:02:02.460

Sarah Jeffreson: Okay, I.

23

00:02:03.450 --> 00:02:03.990

Sarah Jeffreson: That ship.

24

00:02:07.980 --> 00:02:08.220

Ken Van Tilburg: So.

25

00:02:08.550 --> 00:02:11.130

Sarah Jeffreson: let's go ahead now sorry about that.

26

00:02:11.760 --> 00:02:12.240

Sarah Jeffreson: I see.

27

00:02:12.330 --> 00:02:14.670

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah I think the zoom folks.

28

00:02:14.730 --> 00:02:16.290

Sarah Jeffreson: are saying it's fine.

29

00:02:29.280 --> 00:02:30.480

Ken Van Tilburg: hi Peter wait for a little bit.

30

00:02:38.160 --> 00:02:38.700

Sarah Jeffreson: Go ahead.

31

00:02:40.380 --> 00:02:54.810

Ken Van Tilburg: Thank you okay yeah no worries so right, so this is the thing I will talk about today is an idea I had in June of 2020 so mid pandemic hallucination but that.

32

00:02:54.840 --> 00:02:55.830

Ken Van Tilburg: Now is shaping.

33

00:02:56.280 --> 00:02:57.390

Ken Van Tilburg: At least part of my research.

34

00:02:57.390 --> 00:02:59.970

Ken Van Tilburg: program and the idea is to look.

35

00:02:59.970 --> 00:03:17.640

Ken Van Tilburg: For new weekly couple of particles beyond the standard model around stars so just as a you know one one slide summary here on the right i'm showing a little animation of it, the simulation simulation is there still ongoing.

36

00:03:18.270 --> 00:03:18.600

Ken Van Tilburg: Of.

37

00:03:19.200 --> 00:03:22.230

Ken Van Tilburg: The solar system, so you see the star in the middle of the sun.

38

00:03:24.030 --> 00:03:33.030

Ken Van Tilburg: And then Venus earth MARS and on the outskirts here is Jupiter so it's a top down view of the of the solar system in units of a you.

39

00:03:34.140 --> 00:03:43.260

Ken Van Tilburg: And the the colored orbits are particles that were initially emitted by the sun onto bound orbits and.

40

00:03:44.220 --> 00:03:57.960

Ken Van Tilburg: The key idea is that these orbits going to accumulate over time so as as the sun is emitting these particles, some of them are most of them, in fact, will fly out to infinity typically they're admitted.

41

00:03:58.980 --> 00:04:01.170

Ken Van Tilburg: On relativistic orbits that are unbound.

42

00:04:03.120 --> 00:04:15.870

Ken Van Tilburg: But some are limited in the band orbits and they will accumulate over time, but then she over the age of the sun or the Star and question and that can lead to new prospects for.

43

00:04:15.900 --> 00:04:16.710

Ken Van Tilburg: For detection.

44

00:04:16.830 --> 00:04:25.290

Ken Van Tilburg: So, as you can see some some of these orbits are crossing earth, so we can try to look look for these particles with experiments on earth.

45

00:04:26.760 --> 00:04:30.990

Ken Van Tilburg: We can look for other signatures, like their decays.

46

00:04:33.270 --> 00:04:48.960

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah and anomalous schooling signatures as well, so so that's that's the the basic idea and it's So the first two papers I listed here, the second one with Robert leaves and he was a postdoc at Stanford.

47

00:04:51.600 --> 00:04:55.680

Sarah Jeffreson: You again yeah minutes of the speaker to a different computer.

48

00:04:56.700 --> 00:05:00.690

Sarah Jeffreson: Okay, because it's fine on some people's computers, but it's back to you.

49

00:05:39.300 --> 00:05:39.930

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Can you hear us now.

50

00:05:41.070 --> 00:05:41.400

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah.

51

00:05:42.600 --> 00:05:43.710

Ken Van Tilburg: how's the audio in the room now.

52

00:05:44.190 --> 00:05:47.760

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: We it seems good so good sorry about that.

53

00:05:48.300 --> 00:05:49.350

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah no worries.

54

00:05:50.640 --> 00:06:08.910

Ken Van Tilburg: Like the LIFE now so yeah the the the papers i've listed here so some of them are with Robert leave them be including ongoing work with courage mobility about simulations preliminary, one of which i'm showing here of this solar basin.

55

00:06:10.230 --> 00:06:18.060

Ken Van Tilburg: And then we're also looking for for these particles indirectly by by their decays near the sun.

56

00:06:18.720 --> 00:06:35.340

Ken Van Tilburg: With X X Ray observatories This is with boulder Rocco who's now at Santa Cruz shama Waxman is a student at nyu and Brian Griffin said a was a new star so an x Ray experimentalists an observer engine We wanted a perimeter Institute.

57

00:06:37.500 --> 00:06:44.400

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah so so this population of and particles I call a stellar basin or solar based in Toronto sun.

58

00:06:45.720 --> 00:06:56.790

Ken Van Tilburg: So okay it's just the, since this is maybe not that familiar to the to the audience, just a quick recap of stellar admission so.

59

00:06:58.650 --> 00:07:05.370

Ken Van Tilburg: The sun in any most stars in fact they're kind of poor photon amateurs, which is why they they live so long.

60

00:07:06.030 --> 00:07:18.810

Ken Van Tilburg: For two reasons, so the first reason is that the surface is quite cool so for the sun at 6000 kelvin, whereas the the core is a much hotter right, but the but the photons from the core don't.

61

00:07:20.250 --> 00:07:26.160

Ken Van Tilburg: escape, at least not initially that they get reabsorbed in the core and get radiation pressure.

62

00:07:28.290 --> 00:07:28.860

Ken Van Tilburg: The.

63

00:07:30.090 --> 00:07:44.820

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah, and so the second reason is that it was when I mentioned that the mean free path is is very short so there's only affected admission from a small layer at the surface, which is further more cool.

64

00:07:46.020 --> 00:07:48.330

Ken Van Tilburg: And that actually leads to some.

65

00:07:50.820 --> 00:07:54.060

Ken Van Tilburg: interesting fact so, for example, that neutrino cooling.

66

00:07:55.410 --> 00:07:59.760

Ken Van Tilburg: may or may not be negligible for some stars, it is negligible for for the sun.

67

00:08:00.870 --> 00:08:16.290

Ken Van Tilburg: But it is not so for other stars, like, for example, neutron stars, so a hot neutron star or a young new dress or in the first hundred thousand years of its life tools, primarily through neutrino emission not through surface submission from from photons.

68

00:08:17.550 --> 00:08:19.890

Ken Van Tilburg: And this is despite the fact that the effective coupling.

69

00:08:20.400 --> 00:08:27.840

Ken Van Tilburg: Of neutrinos is something like 2020 or more orders of magnitude smaller than that of a photon.

70

00:08:29.550 --> 00:08:29.970

Ken Van Tilburg: Okay.

71

00:08:31.170 --> 00:08:37.650

Ken Van Tilburg: And so, this also applies to a hypothetical new particles here i'm calling it an axiom.

72

00:08:38.880 --> 00:08:47.040

Ken Van Tilburg: But it can be really any type of weekly couple particle that can escape, just like a neutrino So this was realized in the late 70s, that that.

73

00:08:48.090 --> 00:09:03.390

Ken Van Tilburg: Just by not observing anomalous schooling of stars and that are stellar codes work pretty well, that you can constrain the couplings of new particles like axioms and so, most of it has focused on on relativistic emission of these particles.

74

00:09:05.190 --> 00:09:14.460

Ken Van Tilburg: And some experiments have been devised to also look for them on earth right, so if if these particles are emitted by the sun, we can try to look for them.

75

00:09:15.480 --> 00:09:19.230

Ken Van Tilburg: In with the directors honors for these unbound particles.

76

00:09:21.180 --> 00:09:34.860

Ken Van Tilburg: The same detectors typically can also look for these particles if they're dark matter, as I said, there i'm assuming that these particles are going to be weekly coupled so they could potentially play the role of dark matter as well.

77

00:09:36.300 --> 00:09:46.200

Ken Van Tilburg: And so yeah often the same detectors can look for both the solar emitted particles, as well as the dark dark matter of population if if they if it exists.

78

00:09:49.140 --> 00:09:51.360

Ken Van Tilburg: What I pointed out, was that there is something.

79

00:09:52.410 --> 00:09:56.520

Ken Van Tilburg: peculiar about most of these particles.

80

00:09:57.180 --> 00:10:06.330

Ken Van Tilburg: Emission so we don't normally don't think about it, but but photons are masters right, so they they necessarily escaped to infinity they don't have bound orbits, but these new particles.

81

00:10:06.630 --> 00:10:25.080

Ken Van Tilburg: Have a mess, so a small fraction of them can be emitted on to bound orbits and accumulate over time and it's a small fraction but the long accumulation time means that there's significant consequences for for lifetime, so it looks like it's a non relativistic particle around the sun.

82

00:10:27.000 --> 00:10:32.460

Ken Van Tilburg: So it kind of looks like dark matter, except it's not causing logic, we produce its solar produced.

83

00:10:34.050 --> 00:10:35.010

Ken Van Tilburg: So yeah.

84

00:10:36.090 --> 00:10:43.500

Ken Van Tilburg: This is the all of the particle physics, you need to know, basically, if you have a light bulb sonic particle.

85

00:10:45.000 --> 00:10:52.350

Ken Van Tilburg: there's only a finite number of possibilities depending on it's quantum numbers, so if it's a scale or particle.

86

00:10:52.680 --> 00:11:00.780

Ken Van Tilburg: So it's a parody even spend zero article pseudo scale if it's a parody odd spin zero particle or it could be a spin one particle a vector.

87

00:11:01.680 --> 00:11:13.170

Ken Van Tilburg: there's really only 10 cufflinks so if it's if it's a scale or a couple two electrons quarks photons and blue ones, and so, these are the four leading interactions you can you can possibly have.

88

00:11:13.830 --> 00:11:22.110

Ken Van Tilburg: classify all of them same with the pseudo scale or again electron sparks photons and glue ons and a vector at only has two possibilities.

89

00:11:22.650 --> 00:11:33.600

Ken Van Tilburg: One thing that we call it genetically mixed photon so it sort of has some mixed propagation with a regular photon with parameters to buy some small coupling epsilon.

90

00:11:34.830 --> 00:11:35.760

Ken Van Tilburg: Or it can couple to.

91

00:11:36.930 --> 00:11:44.220

Ken Van Tilburg: It can couple like a regular photon very except the charges are not electric charge but very on number minus leptons number.

92

00:11:45.000 --> 00:11:55.020

Ken Van Tilburg: So so for the beginning of the doctor i'm going to focus on just this pseudo scale of coupling two electrons So what can happen is just brehm strong off often electron so.

93

00:11:55.440 --> 00:12:04.950

Ken Van Tilburg: let's say time goes from left to right so an electron scatters off an eye on and the sun, and in that electron Ion scattering process.

94

00:12:05.850 --> 00:12:18.150

Ken Van Tilburg: there's some brim struggling radiation, except it's not a not a photon this time but it's an axiom and likewise an analog of the conference gathering process where you produce this accion particle through this coupling.

95

00:12:20.220 --> 00:12:22.710

Ken Van Tilburg: If if, for example, you have a photon coupling.

96

00:12:23.970 --> 00:12:40.560

Ken Van Tilburg: You know, you can have photons scattering off of ions and producing the sexy, and that can also lead to the case of these axioms if you just have the electron coupling the gays are typically pretty slow, because they have to go through a loop it's not so important.

97

00:12:41.670 --> 00:12:48.510

Ken Van Tilburg: And sort of this, the second part of the talk going to discuss this vector particle and alone.

98

00:12:49.800 --> 00:12:53.100

Ken Van Tilburg: Okay, so, but this is this.

99

00:12:54.300 --> 00:13:12.690

Ken Van Tilburg: The first for the axiom particle so you don't really need to know all of the particle physics, but i'm just going to show you here the the effective coupling as a function of massive this particle so this parameter by this G coupling and basically if the coupling is.

100

00:13:13.740 --> 00:13:22.440

Ken Van Tilburg: If the dimension was coupling is larger than three times N minus 13 or so, and if the particle sufficiently light so below the.

101

00:13:24.240 --> 00:13:31.080

Ken Van Tilburg: The temperature of the star like a which is 1010 kV for red giant and one kV for typical white dwarf.

102

00:13:31.680 --> 00:13:46.170

Ken Van Tilburg: Then you would anomalously cool so anywhere in this Gray region, you would anomalously cool red giant stars and shorten their lifetime increase the the the mass of the poor at the at the end of its life.

103

00:13:48.120 --> 00:13:57.420

Ken Van Tilburg: yep and on the right here i'm showing the spectrum of emitted axiom particles for the two different.

104

00:13:58.800 --> 00:14:02.760

Ken Van Tilburg: coupling so the orange bird would be what what what the spectrum looks like.

105

00:14:03.900 --> 00:14:04.650

Ken Van Tilburg: If this.

106

00:14:06.060 --> 00:14:12.840

Ken Van Tilburg: particle can be can be emitted by the sun with this coupling 10th or minus 13 that's a still allowed.

107

00:14:14.250 --> 00:14:32.190

Ken Van Tilburg: So it's below this this great curve and yeah so so just as a point it's emitted from the core of the sun and that's why the

typical energy is of order one kV which corresponds to the thermal energy at 50 million kelvin the Court solar core temperature.

108

00:14:33.870 --> 00:14:39.330

Ken Van Tilburg: So this this spectrum would be the spectrum of a mass loss accion.

109

00:14:40.500 --> 00:14:52.200

Ken Van Tilburg: And here i'm showing a cartoon so here i'm also showing this differential energy loss rate per unit volume per unit, energy, so you can think of it as a spectrum.

110

00:14:53.190 --> 00:15:06.570

Ken Van Tilburg: Now, on a log scale and so at at low energies, so if you're looking at the Masters case low energy is your face space suppressed so typically scales, like some polynomial as a function of frequency.

111

00:15:07.350 --> 00:15:20.040

Ken Van Tilburg: And then, once as a function of energy and for energies far above the temperature eventually you get the boltzmann's depression, because there's not enough energy in the Court to produce very high energy modes.

112

00:15:22.350 --> 00:15:32.070

Ken Van Tilburg: But now, if if these particles have a mass let's say not too far removed from the temperature of the star, then the spectrum it gets modified and.

113

00:15:32.700 --> 00:15:48.420

Ken Van Tilburg: It looks like the black curve over here, and then the new possibility that opens up is that in this blue sliver you have just enough energy to produce the particle but not enough to escape the potential of the solar system so.

114

00:15:50.940 --> 00:15:59.730

Ken Van Tilburg: And those were those particles would be emitted onto a bound orbit and in fact that's you can estimate by dimensional analysis.

115

00:16:01.560 --> 00:16:12.630

Ken Van Tilburg: The fraction of the blue sliver compared to everything else and it's basically because you're sort of you can emit into all parts of face base and the the total integral under the curve here.

116

00:16:13.020 --> 00:16:28.110

Ken Van Tilburg: Typically, gives you something like that scales like temperature to the fourth power, whereas if you're only integrating over the blue sliver you get something like the ball and face space where you can emit which goes like the escape velocity cubed.

117

00:16:29.160 --> 00:16:37.110

Ken Van Tilburg: And the mass of the fourth, so the ratio is typically of order the fractional ratio so sorry that's.

118

00:16:38.370 --> 00:16:47.130

Ken Van Tilburg: redundant, the ratio of bound to unbound orbits if the mass is close to the temperature goes like the escape cube so escape velocity cube.

119

00:16:47.910 --> 00:17:02.730

Ken Van Tilburg: units of the speed of light where the speed of light is one so for the sun in the core of the sun, the escape velocity is a little bit higher than 10 to the minus three so one part in a billion of these axioms would be admitted into bound orbit which sounds small.

120

00:17:03.840 --> 00:17:09.300

Ken Van Tilburg: But let me give you a counter argument for why it's not small okay so.

121

00:17:11.370 --> 00:17:31.980

Ken Van Tilburg: I gave you the heuristic argument, but the the actual formula that I derived in my paper from June 2020 is this one, so if you ask what is the energy density injection rate inbound orbits as observed from a radius are outside of the star.

122

00:17:33.030 --> 00:17:40.950

Ken Van Tilburg: At some volume integral of some function this cube delta, which is not so important that the most important things that it falls off as one over to the fourth.

123

00:17:42.390 --> 00:17:46.770

Ken Van Tilburg: Whereas the inbound emissions, so the stuff that gets submitted.

124

00:17:48.000 --> 00:18:01.230

Ken Van Tilburg: to infinity right just by ghosts is law goes like one over R squared so this integral here would be the luminosity and then the energy density would be just be that diluted by one over for pi R squared by gases.

125

00:18:02.340 --> 00:18:18.000

Ken Van Tilburg: So this is an energy density injection rate, and this is just the instantaneous density from inbound orbits So if you ask what's what's the ratio after of these two after some accumulation time TAO if these bound orbits accumulate for some time out sometime TAO.

126

00:18:19.470 --> 00:18:20.220

Ken Van Tilburg: You find.

127

00:18:22.230 --> 00:18:23.730

Ken Van Tilburg: You find this so.

128

00:18:25.890 --> 00:18:28.860

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah if you complete these integrals again it's not so important what they are.

129

00:18:30.060 --> 00:18:39.150

Ken Van Tilburg: The The end result is that it's a product this ratio is a product of a very big number and a very small number so as promised before.

130

00:18:39.510 --> 00:18:52.770

Ken Van Tilburg: You get something of order via escape tube turns out it's like the escape squared at the radius R times V escaped in the core of the star, which is, which was this thing I derived heuristic Lee and this is small.

131

00:18:55.380 --> 00:18:56.970

Ken Van Tilburg: let's say at earth's location.

132

00:18:58.200 --> 00:19:08.610

Ken Van Tilburg: But this factor is enormous right so tau could potentially be as long as the age of the sun so 4.6 billion years and our for.

133

00:19:09.030 --> 00:19:32.370

Ken Van Tilburg: Our location is eight light minutes right, so this small factors counteracted by 4 billion years over eight minutes and, indeed, so if let's dramatize it as a function of towel so tau is a million years, then these ratio this tiny sliver as it accumulates eventually gives you something.

134

00:19:33.810 --> 00:19:37.200

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah so the boundary mission starts dominating after a million years.

135

00:19:38.340 --> 00:20:00.420

Ken Van Tilburg: So what this accumulation, time is is was not known when I wrote my first paper, and so I made some crude estimates had some conservative estimate based on just the chaotic timescale of orbits in the solar system that has 10 million years if you look at some asteroid data.

136

00:20:01.830 --> 00:20:11.760

Ken Van Tilburg: And some forward propagation of what they do you get a fiduciary time of entity eight years the asteroid orbits are sort of a.

137

00:20:13.320 --> 00:20:33.090

Ken Van Tilburg: are biased and not not representative of the orbits that that are emitted from the sun, and so, if you if you do some other estimates based on just rates of close encounters and secular perturbation theory the typical time you get is is basically the age of the solar system so.

138

00:20:34.980 --> 00:20:35.250

yeah.

139

00:20:36.960 --> 00:20:37.800

Ken Van Tilburg: This is.

140

00:20:39.030 --> 00:20:46.230

Ken Van Tilburg: These are all the technicalities for the Doc the rest will be sort of pictures and we can discuss, so the point is.

141

00:20:47.100 --> 00:21:00.840

Ken Van Tilburg: particles are emitted into bound orbits they accumulate and they can be important that, after a long accumulation time for for detection and from here on out i'm going to.

142

00:21:01.470 --> 00:21:21.570

Ken Van Tilburg: discuss how this basin evolves so i'm going to try to figure out what this accumulation, time is for the sun and i'll show you preliminary results on analyses based on on this population of particles, I am happy to take a question now if you have any national stuffing.

143

00:21:25.830 --> 00:21:26.100

Ken Van Tilburg: We.

144

00:21:27.780 --> 00:21:28.350

Sarah Jeffreson: Have a question.

145

00:21:30.510 --> 00:21:31.470

Sarah Jeffreson: about this.

146

00:21:31.560 --> 00:21:32.070

town.

147

00:21:33.390 --> 00:21:34.410

Sarah Jeffreson: More about.

148

00:21:36.030 --> 00:21:36.360

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: You go.

149

00:21:39.060 --> 00:21:39.360

Sarah Jeffreson: yeah.

150

00:21:47.970 --> 00:21:48.510

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: yeah.

151

00:21:52.260 --> 00:21:52.770

Sarah Jeffreson: So.

152

00:22:02.280 --> 00:22:04.110

Ken Van Tilburg: i'm having a really hard time hearing you.

153

00:22:07.350 --> 00:22:07.560

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: know.

154

00:22:10.620 --> 00:22:14.790

Ken Van Tilburg: Why, it is the layup enough time you asking why why that why I put it there.

155

00:22:15.060 --> 00:22:15.390

yeah.

156

00:22:17.850 --> 00:22:20.520

Ken Van Tilburg: Well, that was sort of a conservative estimate so.

157

00:22:21.390 --> 00:22:32.400

Ken Van Tilburg: it's a huge underestimate so So in fact well spoiler alert, I think the effective TAO for orbits crossing earth's orbit is.

158

00:22:34.140 --> 00:22:41.940

Ken Van Tilburg: Is a 1.2 billion years so it's somewhere in between this asteroid estimate and this optimistic estimate based on.

159

00:22:42.210 --> 00:22:43.830

Ken Van Tilburg: secular perturbation theory.

160

00:22:44.340 --> 00:22:53.340

Ken Van Tilburg: I just basically Italy up enough time the the face face gets scrambled at least partially, and so, so that so that timescale.

161

00:22:53.760 --> 00:23:04.680

Ken Van Tilburg: was just a conservative lower bound on how short this accumulation time was but but already, then you know even with this super conservative estimate, it was already interesting like the bound.

162

00:23:05.880 --> 00:23:13.230

Ken Van Tilburg: The bound fraction would be larger than the that the bone density would be larger than the unbound density locally.

163

00:23:14.100 --> 00:23:16.380

Sarah Jeffreson: gotcha gotcha so you care about the APP and, of course, the.

164

00:23:16.380 --> 00:23:19.830

Sarah Jeffreson: orbits gets crumbled but they don't particles and get rejected.

165

00:23:20.580 --> 00:23:24.810

Ken Van Tilburg: So they do, they do and that's that's what i'll talk about now yeah.

166

00:23:28.470 --> 00:23:31.770

Ken Van Tilburg: So it was it was actually not known.

167

00:23:33.150 --> 00:23:36.570

Ken Van Tilburg: Just the simple question what's the gravitational lifetime so.

168

00:23:36.600 --> 00:23:38.940

Ken Van Tilburg: By gravitational I mean the lifetime against.

169

00:23:40.410 --> 00:23:42.060

Ken Van Tilburg: emotional resonances.

170

00:23:42.060 --> 00:23:52.770

Ken Van Tilburg: And kicks and he kicks from close encounters of just a non interacting particle in the solar system so stability of the solar system was studied.

171

00:23:53.730 --> 00:24:14.670

Ken Van Tilburg: You know by by they learn a Western gas the classical mechanics giants from back in the day, but they mostly focused on to orbit circular orbit in a plane right interactions of the planets obviously not for sort of generic parts of face space.

172

00:24:17.160 --> 00:24:28.380

Ken Van Tilburg: And so yeah some simulations had been done on dark matter capture in the solar system and dark matter capture is the time to reverse problem of each section right so.

173

00:24:30.390 --> 00:24:39.540

Ken Van Tilburg: gravity is time reversal invariant So if you get the capture rate, you can also get the rejection rate, but again, it was not exactly the precise question that we wanted to ask.

174

00:24:41.370 --> 00:24:51.450

Ken Van Tilburg: So that's why we're doing in body simulations with Robert ladies and being carried, you have an ad or finding some pretty cool results i'll show you some of them here.

175

00:24:52.410 --> 00:25:02.610

Ken Van Tilburg: So this is important not just to get the final value of town, but also to see what the annual modulation is of the density locally so Earth is moving in an eccentric orbit.

176

00:25:03.540 --> 00:25:20.610

Ken Van Tilburg: So, as it gets closer you might think you have more density versus when it's at helium where you get less than city, they might even be some sort of astrology correlation where the location of the planets may matter by in particular jupiter's location may matter.

177

00:25:23.490 --> 00:25:29.220

Ken Van Tilburg: For the density, because, as it can shape this sort of this this basin density.

178

00:25:30.570 --> 00:25:42.900

Ken Van Tilburg: Its orbits is a symmetric a eccentric so i'm going to show you a animation now, so this is a very fast on human timescales so i'm not showing the particle orbiting now.

179

00:25:43.320 --> 00:25:49.200

Ken Van Tilburg: Now i'm just going i'm showing you the evolution of the capillary in orbit as a function of time.

180

00:25:50.070 --> 00:26:07.140

Ken Van Tilburg: So on the top is a side view on the right as a side view, this is a top view of the solar system, so, if I can just go back from the beginning, this is it's admitted on a from the sun right, so these particles are emitted on to very radial orbits.

181

00:26:09.390 --> 00:26:28.230

Ken Van Tilburg: And i'm just showing 10 here, and because the the solar system, so if there is no planets the solar system these orbits would just stay fixed and remain on keep layering orbits but deleting order the solar system breaks angular momentum conservation in the.

182

00:26:29.670 --> 00:26:46.440

Ken Van Tilburg: In the X and y directions so you'll see that in these side views the the orbits become circular at least the projection onto the side of US become circular pretty quickly so that's the scrambling time in about a million years or 10 million years, whereas.

183

00:26:47.700 --> 00:26:51.840

Ken Van Tilburg: From the top view the angular momentum the Z direction does not get scrambled as quickly.

184

00:26:53.520 --> 00:27:05.790

Ken Van Tilburg: So here yeah so that the angular momentum the directions days, more or less constant but it gets pretty scrambled pretty quickly and there's some interesting behavior like light Of course I oscillations etc.

185

00:27:07.770 --> 00:27:09.870

Ken Van Tilburg: In the orbits here so.

186

00:27:11.340 --> 00:27:24.990

Ken Van Tilburg: Right and but you see at least you know over these 5 million years of this little animation that that none of the particles

get rejected so they are semi major access or their binding energy stays approximately fixed.

187

00:27:28.020 --> 00:27:39.120

Ken Van Tilburg: So we've simulated thousands of particles, so this is now the semi major access as a function of time from the birth of the solar system to 100 million years.

188

00:27:40.290 --> 00:27:45.450

Ken Van Tilburg: Let me just clean it up a little bit and show you fewer of them so most of the particles are emitted.

189

00:27:46.650 --> 00:28:02.730

Ken Van Tilburg: close to the sun just from face face reasons and the ones that don't cross Venus and earth and are extremely stable right, so this any major axis is basically constant as a function of time these orbits don't cross Earth.

190

00:28:03.780 --> 00:28:16.500

Ken Van Tilburg: But as you go further and further out, you can have close encounters with Venus and earth and if you're very far out so if basically if the orbited if you're sending major access is above 2.6 say you.

191

00:28:17.400 --> 00:28:36.330

Ken Van Tilburg: Jupiter can slingshot you out and typically does so within 10 million years, so this particle gets ejected quickly any particle so here like a lot of these particles once they once they reach 2.5 2.6 a year, they just get kicked out so all the vertical lines going up here are injections.

192

00:28:37.710 --> 00:28:44.820

Ken Van Tilburg: That happens pretty fast So these are all of the rejected particles and preliminary run that we did.

193

00:28:46.230 --> 00:28:54.990

Ken Van Tilburg: So, none of the none of the particles close to earth were rejected, only did the faraway ones.

194

00:28:56.640 --> 00:29:07.380

Ken Van Tilburg: And yeah Robert laser beam I collaborated can come up with some cool tricks, so that the first sort of a done brute force way to simulate what happens is to.

195

00:29:07.800 --> 00:29:18.300

Ken Van Tilburg: continuously inject particles over the age of the sun integrate forward and check how much how many crossings, there are with earth to get a discrete estimator for the density.

196

00:29:20.250 --> 00:29:28.590

Ken Van Tilburg: And there we put a lower bound of 1.1 billion years it's still somewhat biased, because not all of the simulations that finished running.

197

00:29:29.820 --> 00:29:32.220

Ken Van Tilburg: that's why it's a lower bound and not an estimate.

198

00:29:33.330 --> 00:29:36.510

Ken Van Tilburg: And here i'm showing the velocity face space so.

199

00:29:37.590 --> 00:29:59.130

Ken Van Tilburg: incentive coordinate so Earth is in on a circular orbit with some as the neutral velocity normalize the one, and so this semi circle anything in the semi circle would be a bound orbit and you see, most of the orbits are quite eccentric so they're not on circular orbits.

200

00:30:00.300 --> 00:30:16.980

Ken Van Tilburg: they're mostly they're mostly radial ish, and yet they get scrambled in this way and not all of faith based is occupied so very retro grade circular orbits are have not yet been populated are typically do not get populated.

201

00:30:19.500 --> 00:30:28.740

Ken Van Tilburg: But Robert strict was was actually was to integrate backwards, so he did the time to reverse problem instead of emitting from the sun and then checking.

202

00:30:29.160 --> 00:30:45.240

Ken Van Tilburg: How many times you've crossed Earth is the opposite thing he emitted from earth these particles backwards in time and checked, how many times of particles across the sun which happens much more often and as a more efficient way of estimating the density.

203

00:30:46.890 --> 00:30:56.550

Ken Van Tilburg: And you can estimate how it's going to be in our paper with this formula and we get a much more precise estimate of 1.4 billion years So these are doing the Ben and checks.

204

00:31:01.650 --> 00:31:03.900

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah of this lifetime we.

205

00:31:04.950 --> 00:31:06.930

Ken Van Tilburg: And in fact sorry, this is an old.

206

00:31:10.260 --> 00:31:17.070

Ken Van Tilburg: we've corrected for some bar I season and 2 billion years is our is our going to be in on number, I believe.

207

00:31:18.510 --> 00:31:29.190

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah with similar results for how the faith based instead he gets scrambled although it's fewer statistics, because we have just we're emitting from from earth so there's a few statistics for this.

208

00:31:30.000 --> 00:31:38.970

Ken Van Tilburg: And we've checked that it doesn't depend on the algorithm used so we use a is 15 which has some 15th order integrator as well as some 12 sorter algorithm.

209

00:31:40.080 --> 00:31:44.130

Ken Van Tilburg: So yeah so the forward and backwards and then degree as well.

210

00:31:46.560 --> 00:31:53.160

Ken Van Tilburg: And this is just showing you the different simulation so all of the forward simulations which have some survival bias.

211

00:31:55.230 --> 00:32:07.620

Ken Van Tilburg: We had 256 backwards simulations that all finished, so we rent run them backwards in time to 4.6 billion years in the past or until the particle is rejected.

212

00:32:08.520 --> 00:32:21.960

Ken Van Tilburg: Going back in time so that these these first 256 particle dust particles we injected all of all of those simulations that finished in that sense, so that's an unbiased sample and that was the.

213

00:32:22.680 --> 00:32:30.960

Ken Van Tilburg: You know that was the number I quoted here 1.4 billion years is that the peak of this distribution but we've started thousands and, if you take the first.

214

00:32:32.310 --> 00:32:33.720

Ken Van Tilburg: Most of which I finished.

215

00:32:34.980 --> 00:32:38.970

Ken Van Tilburg: But not all we get a slightly lower estimate so, so this is.

216

00:32:40.770 --> 00:32:56.250

Ken Van Tilburg: biased low so so you can take this estimate of 1.2 as a conservative estimate, but the real answer is likely in between here and the spread is just a bootstrap the way of getting our uncertainty, so we don't know it perfectly even just from limited statistics.

217

00:32:59.940 --> 00:33:09.360

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah so i'm going to skip this, so this is what you get in the end for the final density so we've computed this.

218

00:33:10.590 --> 00:33:27.990

Ken Van Tilburg: These plots for exactly from our simulations so no no heuristics so what i'm showing you here is the final density at earth location as a function of particle as a function of this accion mass for different couplings and you get some interesting.

219

00:33:29.040 --> 00:33:33.090

Ken Van Tilburg: dynamics so as you increase the coupling you get more and more and more.

220

00:33:34.380 --> 00:33:51.240

Ken Van Tilburg: If the coupling is as largest 10 to the minus 11 you get as much density I forgot the units i'm sorry this, these are units of gv per centimeter cube so that for reference the Gray line is the local dark matter density.

221

00:33:52.290 --> 00:33:58.020

Ken Van Tilburg: So you can exceed the local dark matter and see if the coupling is very big and there's i'm.

222

00:33:59.160 --> 00:34:08.640

Ken Van Tilburg: curious curious physics so as you increase the coupling eventually you saturate too detailed balance so it's basically where this basin of particles.

223

00:34:09.690 --> 00:34:23.850

Ken Van Tilburg: has an equal admission rate and absorption rate than the sun, so you achieve a detailed balance with your occupation number, this is that face base occupation number gets that have a bose-einstein occupation number.

224

00:34:24.900 --> 00:34:26.760

Ken Van Tilburg: So Eric will have rates with us on basically.

225

00:34:28.620 --> 00:34:33.810

Ken Van Tilburg: um so yeah so you have quite a high density around the star.

226

00:34:35.550 --> 00:34:43.950

Ken Van Tilburg: So you can look for this with experiments on earth right So you see of this density of particles, you can you can look for them.

227

00:34:45.330 --> 00:34:46.980

Ken Van Tilburg: With dark matter experiments.

228

00:34:48.180 --> 00:34:54.210

Ken Van Tilburg: Even though this particle again is not dark matter it's produced by the sun, but it kind of looks and smells like dark matter.

229

00:34:54.720 --> 00:35:04.950

Ken Van Tilburg: And indeed dark matter detectors can look for it so i'm not going to go into all of the details on dark matter detectors but the basic idea is that a particle can be.

230

00:35:06.180 --> 00:35:12.720

Ken Van Tilburg: This axiom particle a couple of electrons so it can eyes xenon Adam as it gets absorbed.

231

00:35:14.070 --> 00:35:24.960

Ken Van Tilburg: And that ionization event will cause some simulation light which is called s one, but the Ionized electrons can also drift.

232

00:35:26.040 --> 00:35:34.020

Ken Van Tilburg: upwards and produce some additional signal called as to from from this drift and you can use these two things to.

233

00:35:37.110 --> 00:35:44.190

Ken Van Tilburg: discriminate against the background and get an energy estimate for what the mass of the party or the energy of the particle was.

234

00:35:46.260 --> 00:35:49.740

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah and get some localization information as well, so.

235

00:35:50.820 --> 00:36:02.100

Ken Van Tilburg: This is a picture from the xenon want an experiment which publish their results in June 2020 so I, so I scrambled to finish up my to finish up this this idea.

236

00:36:02.490 --> 00:36:11.730

Ken Van Tilburg: Because indeed they what they found was an excess of events at low energies with a typical energy of order the.

237

00:36:12.300 --> 00:36:30.210

Ken Van Tilburg: Core temperature son I don't I I think this access is not quite really may be some radioactive it likely is some radioactive contamination, but anyway it's a they could have possibly seen something as i'll show next.

238

00:36:32.880 --> 00:36:33.480

Ken Van Tilburg: So.

239

00:36:34.740 --> 00:36:37.860

Ken Van Tilburg: What they interpreted it, as was a.

240

00:36:39.150 --> 00:36:45.930

Ken Van Tilburg: let's look in this block a solar accion So if you say that it's a relativistic solar accion so nationalists accion.

241

00:36:47.070 --> 00:36:50.430

Ken Van Tilburg: You can get this you can fit this excess with.

242

00:36:51.690 --> 00:37:02.700

Ken Van Tilburg: With such a population, the problem was that in there parameter space, so if you can couple two electrons here, you can couple two photons and you can coupled the nuclei.

243

00:37:03.180 --> 00:37:16.020

Ken Van Tilburg: And anywhere in the blue band you you fit well this excess of events, except the problem was that the only allowed parameter space was this orange.

244

00:37:17.340 --> 00:37:19.650

Ken Van Tilburg: orange orange sphere over there.

245

00:37:20.910 --> 00:37:28.050

Ken Van Tilburg: So it's just gross like it could not possibly be real, at least not for this type of signal, because it was already excluded by.

246

00:37:28.710 --> 00:37:34.380

Ken Van Tilburg: Other measurements so so other stellar cooling measurement So this is the same blocked electron company and photon coupling.

247

00:37:35.010 --> 00:37:48.210

Ken Van Tilburg: If you don't want to call stars at all you have to live in this Turquoise band and to fit their signal you need to live in the in the red band so engrossed violation of the schooling bounds so log plot so.

248

00:37:51.810 --> 00:38:01.560

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah these experiments can also look for for dark matter so if you assume these particles make up the dark matter you can you can look for them.

249

00:38:02.760 --> 00:38:18.090

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah and so so that would actually be a plausible explanation, so this excess of events was sort of this that the fact that the black line was above the Green and the yellow band, so their limit their limit on the on the coupling was worse than expected.

250

00:38:20.550 --> 00:38:26.280

Ken Van Tilburg: Okay, so so to summarize this this part so there's this.

251

00:38:27.330 --> 00:38:38.250

Ken Van Tilburg: Excess could be due to three populations so again, this is the same coupling plot of the electron coupling versus accion mess i'll just break it down slowly over time.

252

00:38:40.440 --> 00:38:52.740

Ken Van Tilburg: If it were a relativistic accion so mass list relativistic accion which was their signal interpretation they needed a coupling somewhere in this red band, which was in violation of these prior cooling constraints.

253

00:38:55.050 --> 00:38:56.580

Ken Van Tilburg: So that would correspond to.

254

00:38:57.870 --> 00:39:01.110

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah so sort of a this relativistic emission.

255

00:39:02.550 --> 00:39:06.540

Ken Van Tilburg: If it is, if the particle makes up the dark matter.

256

00:39:07.830 --> 00:39:19.620

Ken Van Tilburg: You know, we know its density and, in fact, a dark matter dark matter particles, you know, with the right energy with the right mass would explain the excess, but my claim was that.

257

00:39:20.490 --> 00:39:32.760

Ken Van Tilburg: there's bound fraction is quite this bound density is quite high not quite as large as the dark matter density, but for similar coupling you could you could explain explain this excess.

258

00:39:34.590 --> 00:39:38.910

Ken Van Tilburg: And so yeah and so, and you could explain the excess even if.

259

00:39:40.680 --> 00:39:45.180

Ken Van Tilburg: Even if the particle was was not dark matter, and because the density of this.

260

00:39:46.320 --> 00:39:52.470

Ken Van Tilburg: The Non relativistic solar based on population is higher I sensitive to smaller couplings.

261

00:39:54.780 --> 00:40:03.120

Ken Van Tilburg: And so now with the simulations, this is a new, updated results of where we've assumed if this financial.

262

00:40:04.170 --> 00:40:22.410

Ken Van Tilburg: accumulation time from from our simulation so So if you if you just if you're conservative and you take all the previous dark matter limits on this coupling you're going to recast it into this into this, a new limit independent of cosmology just from axioms produced by.

263

00:40:23.580 --> 00:40:29.130

Ken Van Tilburg: By the sun and and get a competitive limit it doesn't quite exceed.

264

00:40:30.210 --> 00:40:41.040

Ken Van Tilburg: The stellar cooling limits, however, this is insensitive to you know stellar evolution of red giants and white works which is somewhat complicated.

265

00:40:41.520 --> 00:40:57.630

Ken Van Tilburg: And furthermore, these experiments are improving, with time, in fact, now there's a xenon experiment running we're Ennis six so they have a six times more sensitive experiment with three times lower background so in one year time this.

266

00:40:58.830 --> 00:41:05.790

Ken Van Tilburg: This excess will be under or falsified and also if they don't see anything that the limit will improve.

267

00:41:06.840 --> 00:41:08.040

Ken Van Tilburg: For this type of particle.

268

00:41:10.770 --> 00:41:21.030

Ken Van Tilburg: And so that's that's going to be the claim, so this solar basin or stellar basins in general will form the basis, I think, for for the leading prob.

269

00:41:21.510 --> 00:41:32.820

Ken Van Tilburg: Have any weakly coupled particle with a mass of around kV So in fact what I've shown you here was the simplest example, but not the most spectacular example.

270

00:41:34.230 --> 00:41:38.250

Ken Van Tilburg: So I'm going to show you a completely analogous example for.

271

00:41:39.840 --> 00:41:42.060

Ken Van Tilburg: A vector particle now she's.

272

00:41:43.140 --> 00:41:53.730

Ken Van Tilburg: not going to be too long, so that was the second paper you put out, so the this particle, so this is just a Maxwell lagrangian just for our photon.

273

00:41:54.270 --> 00:42:06.930

Ken Van Tilburg: Just imagine there's now a new photon F prime so a second dark photon that mixes with with our photon with some time by some tiny amount epsilon and this new photon has a mass as well.

274

00:42:08.730 --> 00:42:23.730

Ken Van Tilburg: So the production is very analogous except it can occur resident Lee so there's do some positive effects at low masses, you can

have resident production, and that makes the production much more dramatic by the sun.

275

00:42:25.320 --> 00:42:26.070

Ken Van Tilburg: So.

276

00:42:27.120 --> 00:42:36.270

Ken Van Tilburg: i'll skip this, but so in the end, these are analogous plot so so where the dark photon energy density in gv per centimeter cube.

277

00:42:36.750 --> 00:42:50.280

Ken Van Tilburg: can exceed the dark matter density, even for a couple things that are that we're still allowed and you can build up to this detailed balanced thermal occupation number in some cases.

278

00:42:51.390 --> 00:42:52.710

Ken Van Tilburg: And in this case.

279

00:42:54.330 --> 00:42:55.260

Ken Van Tilburg: Show you this limit.

280

00:42:56.490 --> 00:43:06.030

Ken Van Tilburg: In this case, now this solar basin, if you sort of re if you reinterpret existing dark matter experiments from the xenon collaboration.

281

00:43:08.310 --> 00:43:15.990

Ken Van Tilburg: In our work now based on our simulations we we get this new blue limit so over.

282

00:43:17.100 --> 00:43:24.660

Ken Van Tilburg: Quite a few orders of magnitude and in various places we set the best limit on on this new particle this simple.

283

00:43:25.230 --> 00:43:34.650

Ken Van Tilburg: vector particle mixed with our photon so in a small region here in a small region there in a big triangle here, where we improve the bounds by.

284

00:43:35.100 --> 00:43:46.530

Ken Van Tilburg: By an order of magnitude already with existing data and as in a corner over there as well, and again both of all of these bounds will improve so there's a an experiment running.

285

00:43:47.400 --> 00:44:01.200

Ken Van Tilburg: are being developed now being built now supersede dms that will be sensitive to very light dark matter and it will carve out a huge part of parameter space, even if this accident is not dark matter.

286

00:44:02.790 --> 00:44:05.100

Ken Van Tilburg: So yeah that's that's The bottom line on.

287

00:44:06.300 --> 00:44:10.620

Ken Van Tilburg: On direct detection, I want to leave some time for questions so i'm going to skip.

288

00:44:11.670 --> 00:44:17.430

Ken Van Tilburg: i'm going to give you just a brief summary of the next part, so this is direct detection on earth.

289

00:44:19.200 --> 00:44:24.480

Ken Van Tilburg: What what can also happen is just indirect detection so.

290

00:44:26.910 --> 00:44:42.990

Ken Van Tilburg: So what what can happen is so this base and density goes like one over radius to the fourth power, so that the local density is appreciable but not very large like i've ordered the dark matter are a little bit less a little bit higher.

291

00:44:44.400 --> 00:44:53.880

Ken Van Tilburg: But near the surface of the sun, the density can be huge not so large that it gravitationally preserves the sun, but but very large.

292

00:44:54.960 --> 00:44:55.770

Ken Van Tilburg: Nevertheless.

293

00:44:56.790 --> 00:45:11.910

Ken Van Tilburg: And so what can happen or what may be observable is if these particles the cage two photons so you have a keV part mass particle that that is not an optimistic in the case, the two photons so you would get a line.

294

00:45:13.320 --> 00:45:18.630

Ken Van Tilburg: At half the rest at half the mass at half the energy of the rest mass energy of the particle.

295

00:45:21.480 --> 00:45:23.910

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah coming from near the surface of the sun.

296

00:45:25.170 --> 00:45:30.300

Ken Van Tilburg: With the known sort of spatial distribution with the limb brightened.

297

00:45:31.440 --> 00:45:44.790

Ken Van Tilburg: So what we've done is we we've used new star data to look at the limit of the sun so basically like a close to the surface of the sun, when the sun was very quiet and.

298

00:45:46.380 --> 00:46:04.710

Ken Van Tilburg: Our analysis should be out soon, so let me just show you the final sort of our energy or the final results, so this is the raw data of all of the photons collected in the field of you, the Red the red is sort of the the solar disk here.

299

00:46:05.970 --> 00:46:17.490

Ken Van Tilburg: And we look for as a signal, primarily in the limb, with some characteristics spectral and angular template so our actual signal.

300

00:46:18.780 --> 00:46:27.420

Ken Van Tilburg: I don't have it sorry I forgot the forgot the block, but our signal is sort of limbo at Brighton so it would be dominated here.

301

00:46:29.220 --> 00:46:29.640

Ken Van Tilburg: and

302

00:46:31.680 --> 00:46:44.520

Ken Van Tilburg: For this accion couple two photons we again so different coupling just a couple of photons we we set a limit that improves on existing constraints by by two orders of magnitude.

303

00:46:45.750 --> 00:46:55.320

Ken Van Tilburg: And, and this method will work for any type of star, so you can look for a stellar basins around white dwarfs neutron stars.

304

00:46:57.240 --> 00:47:04.650

Ken Van Tilburg: Hot super giants like beetle juice, where, which is much harder and can look for other particles so so.

305

00:47:06.060 --> 00:47:08.670

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah let me, let me, let me conclude.

306

00:47:09.690 --> 00:47:11.220

Ken Van Tilburg: Let me conclude, there so.

307

00:47:12.450 --> 00:47:13.020

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah I think.

308

00:47:14.430 --> 00:47:16.770

Ken Van Tilburg: stars can were known to be.

309

00:47:18.060 --> 00:47:37.200

Ken Van Tilburg: laboratories of new physics and we can look for direct detection of these a new particles so it's you know start the star is not only a laboratory that that produces produces these particles, but we can actually look for these particles in our laboratories as well.

310

00:47:38.700 --> 00:47:45.630

Ken Van Tilburg: But we can also look for them indirectly front from there X Ray the case, for example.

311

00:47:47.010 --> 00:47:58.830

Ken Van Tilburg: And not just around the sun, but better and other objects to which is going to be work that i'll be doing in the near future so Hello yeah i'll stop here, and thanks for your attention.

312

00:47:59.040 --> 00:48:00.540

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: happy to take any questions.

313

00:48:01.410 --> 00:48:01.770

Thanks.

314

00:48:05.940 --> 00:48:13.080

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: So maybe let's start online I I may Martin Elvis do you want to go ahead, so you had a question.

315

00:48:15.240 --> 00:48:15.480

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: And then.

316

00:48:16.080 --> 00:48:24.900

Martin Elvis: yeah I was just wondering, you can do direct detection close to the sun, if you get into a Parker solar probe type orbit and perry can you hear me.

317

00:48:25.500 --> 00:48:29.400

Ken Van Tilburg: OK, I can hear you yes it's a reason the tab as well.

318

00:48:29.490 --> 00:48:37.500

Martin Elvis: yeah couple of hundred thousand times the flux that you're get on earth so even a fairly small experiment might be useful yeah.

319

00:48:37.530 --> 00:48:39.630

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah we we we thought of that.

320

00:48:40.680 --> 00:48:54.090

Ken Van Tilburg: You can't quite get to yeah that if you if you could get to the solar surf this like really you know you wouldn't the like you say because it's one over to the fourth year 200 times closer, so you would get.

321

00:48:55.980 --> 00:49:12.030

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah almost 10 orders of magnitude or so nine orders of magnitude in density, it is a pretty extreme environment, though, and the probe does get radioactive as it gets close to the sun, with a lot of chart charged particles around.

322

00:49:12.090 --> 00:49:19.350

Martin Elvis: right but you're gonna have to get that close to gain five orders of magnitude and Parker solar probe is already demonstrated, it can be done.

323

00:49:20.160 --> 00:49:26.040

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah yeah so we have thought about it, we haven't done any analysis i'd be happy to.

324

00:49:27.510 --> 00:49:31.890

Ken Van Tilburg: collaborate with anyone or i'd be happy if someone else to be announced this too yeah and indeed.

325

00:49:33.630 --> 00:49:37.440

Ken Van Tilburg: An interesting thing that you could do let's say if it's 10 times closer to the sun.

326

00:49:38.700 --> 00:49:41.850

Ken Van Tilburg: You don't what we're looking for is the the.

327

00:49:43.050 --> 00:49:50.790

Ken Van Tilburg: You know the the the X Ray telescope new star is looking directly at the sun and the photons passed through.

328

00:49:52.770 --> 00:50:04.560

Ken Van Tilburg: The optical table and get get focused on on the sensor but where you could do with a probe like parks, is just close the aperture completely just have a box with insight.

329

00:50:05.280 --> 00:50:17.280

Ken Van Tilburg: shielded very well and have a sensor inside and look for a particle decaying inside inside your box and giving a signal there, so you don't necessarily need to focus.

330

00:50:17.730 --> 00:50:36.150

Ken Van Tilburg: If you're sufficiently close so that's an that's an interesting experiment, one could do, and as you say, also just real analyzing parks data could be interesting and I don't quite have a good sense of how competitive, it would be what the backgrounds are so so this.

331

00:50:37.230 --> 00:50:40.080

Ken Van Tilburg: New star observation was a particularly quiet.

332

00:50:41.280 --> 00:50:43.170

Ken Van Tilburg: Particularly quiet solid period.

333

00:50:45.030 --> 00:50:54.660

Ken Van Tilburg: So so new star specifically looked at the sun during this quiet diamond so so the limit that she has just done a very small data set of.

334

00:50:57.000 --> 00:50:59.730

Ken Van Tilburg: A few 1500 seconds I believed.

335

00:51:00.930 --> 00:51:03.180

Ken Van Tilburg: were just this this one orbit.

336

00:51:04.620 --> 00:51:16.920

Ken Van Tilburg: But, but you see here already, in this in this data there's some Spikes of events and that's when the new star satellite was passing through the South Atlantic anomaly so charged particles can.

337

00:51:17.520 --> 00:51:24.690

Ken Van Tilburg: give you access background I don't quite have a good idea with the the parks backgrounds are from charged particles, but I imagine they might be worse.

338

00:51:28.680 --> 00:51:29.940

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: David did you want to go ahead.

339

00:51:31.440 --> 00:51:37.860

David Michael Hernandez: yeah sure hi um I may have missed this, but for the bound axioms what's the initial.

340

00:51:38.940 --> 00:51:41.610

David Michael Hernandez: Like orbital distribution that you assume.

341

00:51:43.920 --> 00:51:48.540

Ken Van Tilburg: One King calculates so so basically.

342

00:51:50.700 --> 00:51:54.300

Ken Van Tilburg: there's a this this shape.

343

00:51:55.380 --> 00:52:03.180

Ken Van Tilburg: is universal for admission into a one over our gravitational potential and the reason is at.

344

00:52:04.980 --> 00:52:08.250

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah, so this is, I drive this in my first paper so.

345

00:52:09.930 --> 00:52:20.850

Ken Van Tilburg: You can write you can write this differential spectrum, just as a integrals over all the face face of all of the articles, but, including the accion So if you just extract.

346

00:52:21.540 --> 00:52:31.500

Ken Van Tilburg: This accion piece here and take the low momentum limit right you're interested in in just this sliver where it's very low velocity going out.

347

00:52:32.130 --> 00:52:48.180

Ken Van Tilburg: And so the instagran here completely is independent of K so, particularly at each point in the sun you emit into this 3D ball, you may you may uniformly into the ball.

348

00:52:48.690 --> 00:52:57.540

Ken Van Tilburg: Up to the escape velocity up to momentum, where you escape the solar system right from that so you know the initial injection trajectories.

349

00:52:58.650 --> 00:53:05.640

Ken Van Tilburg: And they give you an injection rate like this, so and that gives you these very, very radial orbits.

350

00:53:06.930 --> 00:53:10.230

Ken Van Tilburg: And in terms of semi major axis distribution, it gives you.

351

00:53:12.360 --> 00:53:20.640

Ken Van Tilburg: One over a squared or as a semi major axis fractional distribution but otherwise sort of maximally isotopic.

352

00:53:21.750 --> 00:53:25.770

Ken Van Tilburg: Consistent with being emitted from maximally as a topic for each.

353

00:53:26.790 --> 00:53:28.800

Ken Van Tilburg: For each for each dimension.

354

00:53:29.490 --> 00:53:40.290

David Michael Hernandez: So I guess then i'm curious like in these long term like this plot, you have here this long term future axis evolution is your model just newtonian gravity.

355

00:53:41.880 --> 00:53:47.220

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah the model is newtonian gravity, but not one over our so one of the complications we.

356

00:53:48.480 --> 00:53:49.740

Ken Van Tilburg: had to deal with.

357

00:53:50.790 --> 00:54:01.980

Ken Van Tilburg: was the fact that them, but you know these are sun crossing orbits by by construction right you're admitted your emitted from the sun, so the orbits are not exactly clarion.

358

00:54:03.090 --> 00:54:07.890

Ken Van Tilburg: So this this piece here is in fact not exactly a equals zero.

359

00:54:09.000 --> 00:54:09.300

A.

360

00:54:10.410 --> 00:54:23.970

Ken Van Tilburg: it's the close to zero, but, but what can, what does happen is extremely rapid Perry helium procession because these particles are just going through the sun basically unperturbed but the potential is not one over are there, so you get these uh.

361

00:54:25.230 --> 00:54:30.990

Ken Van Tilburg: yeah rapidly processing patterns, they kind of look like we said you patterns very early on.

362

00:54:31.440 --> 00:54:42.720

David Michael Hernandez: So, so, then you did I did the reason I asked is because I know for mercury, including Dr effects, has a significant effect on stabilizing its orbit.

363

00:54:43.650 --> 00:54:49.140

David Michael Hernandez: So I guess that's what you mean when you say you change the potential like some GR effects right.

364

00:54:49.860 --> 00:54:51.120

Ken Van Tilburg: So um.

365

00:54:53.400 --> 00:54:55.530

Ken Van Tilburg: You know what I mean so.

366

00:54:56.130 --> 00:55:12.840

Ken Van Tilburg: While the effect to describe is also there and we have considered it we we so we didn't include GR effects and the long term simulations because, for most of the time they are small, for the orbits that we care about the ones that cross earth planetary perturbations are much larger.

367

00:55:14.460 --> 00:55:23.790

Ken Van Tilburg: But yeah initially when you're on these you know very low barrier Julian trajectories the potential is not one over our it has the one over our cube direction from.

368

00:55:24.420 --> 00:55:31.800

Ken Van Tilburg: Or maybe even one over R squared from from GR and also just the the sun is not appointed particle that's why it's not one over our.

369

00:55:32.550 --> 00:55:46.710

Ken Van Tilburg: that's by far the dominant thing, and I should also say we, we did analytic estimates before that not only this effect is negligible, but we also did not include in our long term simulations mercury.

370

00:55:47.940 --> 00:55:50.580

Ken Van Tilburg: or Mars or Neptune or uranus because they'd be.

371

00:55:51.600 --> 00:55:55.500

Ken Van Tilburg: They give negligible contribution, so we have a slide here.

372

00:55:57.360 --> 00:55:58.380

Ken Van Tilburg: i'm going to show you here.

373

00:56:02.790 --> 00:56:03.540

Ken Van Tilburg: This is.

374

00:56:05.340 --> 00:56:05.910

Ken Van Tilburg: A.

375

00:56:07.470 --> 00:56:12.000

Ken Van Tilburg: sort of short time scale analysis, where we look at.

376

00:56:12.570 --> 00:56:21.420

Ken Van Tilburg: The chain, the fractional change and semi major axis for positive one, so sort of up scattering where you increase the energy and down scattering where you decrease the energy.

377

00:56:21.870 --> 00:56:41.340

Ken Van Tilburg: And so we we basically see that as a function of semi major axis and the changes over 1000 years so so basically what happens is that anything that crosses jupiter's orbit which is this line years so gets kicked out very quickly.

378

00:56:44.370 --> 00:56:54.660

Ken Van Tilburg: But for orbits in the inner solar system Jupiter just give secular perturbations for the most part and and changes the angular momentum of the orbits especially.

379

00:56:55.650 --> 00:57:13.860

Ken Van Tilburg: Alex and ally and the planets in particular Venus and earth are the dominant ones, to give sort of chaotic kicks so random kicks and they change lc and so so yeah so we we think and we're doing some some.

380

00:57:15.210 --> 00:57:20.670

Ken Van Tilburg: Mark off process analysis now where basically we think the final answer.

381

00:57:22.350 --> 00:57:29.880

Ken Van Tilburg: You can get from from taking these sort of short timescale scattering processes and making a mark off matrix out of this.

382

00:57:30.810 --> 00:57:45.480

Ken Van Tilburg: squaring it a bunch of times and after a billion, after many iterations you get an evolution that's that's quite consistent it doesn't capture of course everything like emotional resonances but, but he captures sort of the broad features of.

383

00:57:46.530 --> 00:57:51.360

Ken Van Tilburg: Of the evolution so it's mostly close encounters from Venus and earth.

384

00:57:52.860 --> 00:57:53.370

Ken Van Tilburg: and

385

00:57:55.320 --> 00:58:00.030

Ken Van Tilburg: And yeah secular perturbation from from Jupiter and in fact I don't think I have it here.

386

00:58:01.140 --> 00:58:09.180

Ken Van Tilburg: No, I don't but, but you can calculate close encounters just from the gravitational scattering cross section and the plot looks exactly the same.

387

00:58:09.990 --> 00:58:19.320

Ken Van Tilburg: module of these Spikes which a close encounter analysis cannot take into account for emotional resonances yeah we think we understand what the what happens.

388

00:58:19.800 --> 00:58:24.900

David Michael Hernandez: Thanks yeah I think someone else has a question i'd have more questions but.

389

00:58:24.930 --> 00:58:31.290

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: yeah, we need to stop there, for now let's think can all together, one more time.

390

00:58:34.530 --> 00:58:39.660

Morgan Elowe MacLeod: Can I know that you have a lecture to get to, but if you can stay for a few more minutes on the call, we do have.

391

00:58:39.960 --> 00:58:43.770

Ken Van Tilburg: One more question yeah yeah for sure for sure I could say I could say well.

392

00:58:44.970 --> 00:58:45.090

Ken Van Tilburg: You.